| 1 | FAIR EMPLOYMENT HOUSING COUNCIL |
|----|--|
| 2 | MEETING AND HEARING |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 6 | AUDITORIUM 505 Van Ness Avenue |
| 7 | San Francisco, California |
| 8 | JUNE 2, 2014 |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 12 | PUBLIC HEARING: ADOPTED PROPOSED DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | FAMILY RIGHTS ACT REGULATIONS |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| | |
| 19 | ATKINSON-BAKER, INC. |
| 20 | COURT REPORTERS (800) 288-3376 |
| 21 | www.depo.com |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | REPORTED BY: Tammy Moon, CSR No. 13184 |
| 25 | FILE NO.: A8065A7 |
| | |
| | |

Γ

Page 1

| 1 2 | FAIR EMPLOYMENT HOUSING COUNCIL MEETING AND HEARING | 1 2 | SAN FRANCISCO, CA; MONDAY, JUNE 2, 2014; 1:07 p.m. |
|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | | 3 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: Welcome back from |
| 4 5 | CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | 4 | lunch. We are on the record. The time is 1:09 p.m. on |
| Ŭ | AUDITORIUM | 5 | Monday, June 2nd, 2014. We are located here in the |
| 6 | 505 Van Ness Avenue | 6 | auditorium of the California Public Utilities Commission |
| | San Francisco, California | 7 | located at 505 Van Ness Avenue in San Francisco, |
| 7 | | 8 9 | California. |
| 8 | JUNE 2, 2014 | 10 | My name is Chaya Mandelbaum. I'm the Chairperson of the Fair Employment and Housing Council, |
| 9 | | 11 | and joining me today are members of the Fair Employment |
| 10 | | 12 | Housing Council; Councilmembers Dale Brodsky, Chanee |
| 11 | | 13 | Franklin Minor, Patricia Perez, as well as Ex Officio |
| 12 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at California | 14 | Member and Director of the Department of Fair Employment |
| 13 14 | Public Utilities Commission, at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San | 15 | and Housing, Phyllis Cheng. |
| 15 | Francisco, California, commencing at 1:07 p.m., Monday, JUNE 2, 2014, before Tammy Moon, CSR No. 13184. | 16 | Let me welcome you to this CFRA hearing. The |
| 16 | | 17 | purpose of this hearing is to receive public comment |
| 17 | | 18 | regarding the issuance of Amendments to the California |
| 18 | | 19 | Family Rights Act Regulations proposed by the Fair |
| 19 | | 20 | Employment and Housing Council. |
| 20 21 | | 21 | This rulemaking action clarifies and makes |
| 22 | | 22 | specific, and where appropriate, conforms to relevant |
| 23 | | 23 | federal regulations, the existing state regulations in |
| 24 | | 24 | interpreting the CFRA set forth in Government Code |
| 25 | | 25 | section 12945.2. |
| | Page 2 | | Page 4 |
| | | | |
| 1 | A P P E A R A N C E S: | 1 | CFRA ensures work leave rights for the birth of |
| 1 2 | A P P E A R A N C E S: | 1 2 | CFRA ensures work leave rights for the birth of a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a |
| 2 3 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: | | CFRA ensures work leave rights for the birth of a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster |
| 2 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON | 2 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a |
| 2 3 4 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster |
| 2 3 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's |
| 2 3 4 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California |
| 2 3 4 5 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table after you are done. The text of the Council's proposed regulations is also available on the Council's web page at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 22 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table after you are done. The text of the Council's proposed regulations is also available on the Council's web page at www.dfeh.ca.gov/fehccouncil.htm. The Council's holding |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table after you are done. The text of the Council's proposed regulations is also available on the Council's web page at www.dfeh.ca.gov/fehccouncil.htm. The Council's holding this hearing as part of its formal rulemaking process. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 22 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table after you are done. The text of the Council's proposed regulations is also available on the Council's web page at www.dfeh.ca.gov/fehccouncil.htm. The Council's holding this hearing as part of its formal rulemaking process. We noticed this public hearing more than |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table after you are done. The text of the Council's proposed regulations is also available on the Council's web page at www.dfeh.ca.gov/fehccouncil.htm. The Council's holding this hearing as part of its formal rulemaking process. We noticed this public hearing more than 45 days ago in the California Regulatory Notice |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: CHAYA MANDELBAUM, CHAIRPERSON CHANEE FRANKLIN MINOR, COUNCILMEMBER ANDREW SCHNEIDERMAN, COUNCILMEMBER DALE BRODSKY, COUNCILMEMBER PATRICIA PEREZ, COUNCILMEMBER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | a child for purposes of bonding; for the placement of a child in an employee's family for adoption or foster care; for the serious health condition of an employee's child, parent, or spouse; or for an employee's own serious health condition. The regulations will appear in the California Code of Regulations, Title Two, sections 11087 to 11097. Copies of the proposed amendments to the CFRA Regulations are available in the back of the room. For those of you who will testify, a binder is available at the front of the room that contains a copy of today's hearing notice, the text of the proposed regulations, and the Council's initial statement of reasons. The binder is available for your use while you testify only. Please leave it on the podium or table after you are done. The text of the Council's proposed regulations is also available on the Council's web page at www.dfeh.ca.gov/fehccouncil.htm. The Council's holding this hearing as part of its formal rulemaking process. We noticed this public hearing more than |

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

| 1 | to more than 7,000 individuals and stakeholders, and | 1 | testify. However, we ask that you come to the front of |
|----------|--|----|--|
| 2 | also via social media LinkedIn, Facebook, and Twitter to | 2 | the room so that the court reporter can take down your |
| 3 | more than 800 individuals to stakeholders on the same | 3 | testimony. |
| 4 | date. | 4 | Please begin by stating and spelling your name |
| 5 | Pursuant to that notice, we took testimony on | 5 | and any affiliations. Also, if you are commenting on a |
| 6 | April 7, 2014, at UC Irvine Law School. We are taking | 6 | specific regulation, please identify the section number |
| 7 | testimony again here today on the proposed amendments to | 7 | of the regulation so that we may refer to it as you |
| 8 | the CFRA Regulations. | 8 | speak. |
| 9 | We will also accept written comments until 5:00 | 9 | We will hear testimony until all those wishing |
| 10 | p.m. today on June 2nd, 2014. You may e-mail written | 10 | to testify today have had an opportunity to do so. |
| 11 12 | comments to the Council at fehccouncil@dfeh.ca.gov. If you prefer, you may mail that instead to the | 12 | So the primary purpose today is obviously to |
| 13 | | 13 | hear from as many of you as would like to testify. |
| 14 | Council care of Phyllis Cheng at 2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 in Elk Grove, California, 95758. | 14 | Councilmember Brodsky and I will start with a very brief |
| 15 | If you brought a written copy of your comments, | 15 | presentation of our thought process and and some highlight some of what we view to be the more |
| | | 16 | |
| 16 17 | please give it to Annmarie Billotti in the front of the room. If you have not brought a written copy of your | 17 | significant or noteworthy changes. For those of you wishing to to view a more |
| 18 | comments in writing, we would appreciate if you would | 18 | |
| 19 | provide us with a written copy by 5:00 p.m. later today, | 19 | full a more full sort of snapshot of the Council's deliberations, are encouraged to view the September and |
| 20 | June 2nd, 2014. | 20 | December meetings of 2013 at the Council where we |
| 21 | Anyone who testifies here today or submits | 21 | deliberated at length on this CFRA Regulations in |
| 22 | written comments will receive a copy of any changes or | 22 | advance of adopting the proposed regulations we are here |
| 23 | amendments that Council makes to its proposed | 23 | to talk about today. |
| 24 | regulations. | 24 | MS. BRODSKY: I'd like to just by a show of |
| 25 | Also, anyone who testifies or submits written | 25 | hands see how many people are here who intend to make |
| | Page 6 | | Page 8 |
| | | | |
| 1 | comments will have a 15-day period within which to make | 1 | public comments today. Thank you. |
| 2 | written comments on any further changes to the proposed | 2 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: So we we started |
| 3 | amendments to the CFRA Regulations. | 3 | like any rulemaking process with the administrative |
| 4 | Council and DFEH staff will consider each | 4 | procedures, which provides six guideposts for any |
| 5 | comment made on April 7, 2014, and again here today as | 5 | rulemaking action. |
| 6 | well as all written comments received. The Council will | 6 | Necessity, the need for the regulation to |
| 7 | respond to each comment in writing in its Final | 7 | effectuate the purpose of the statute. Authority, which |
| 8 | Statement of Reasons, which will become part of the | 8 | is the statutory authority that enables you to make the |
| 9 | Council's rulemaking record. | 9 | changes. Clarity, meaning that the regulations should |
| 10 | The hearing is being transcribed by a certified | 10 | be crafted so that they're easily understood to those |
| 11 | court reporter. The transcript of the hearing as well | 11 | affected by them. Consistency, which is in harmony with |
| 12 | as written all written comments received today will | 12 | existing statutes and court decisions and regulations. |
| 13 | also be part of your Council's official rulemaking | 13 | And in considering the consistency prong in |
| 14 | record. | 14 | particular, we are mindful that a lot has happened. The |
| 15 | Because this hearing is being transcribed, it | 15 | last update to the California Family Rights Act |
| 16 | is critical that anyone speaking do so clearly, and that | 16 | Regulation was in 1995, and in the interim there's been |
| 17 | only one person speak at a time. | 17 | one amendment to the statute in 2011, which clarified |
| 18 | If you have not already done so, please sign in | 18 | the prohibition against interfering with the restraint |
| 19 | on the attendance sheet. If you sign in, we will know | 19 | to exercising the rights under CFRA. |
| 20 | that you were here and we will be able to send you a | 20 | There's also been numerous rounds of the |
| 21 | copy of any changes to the proposed amendments to the | 21 | amendments to regulate the counterpart to the FMLA. We |
| 22 | CFRA Regulations. Also, if you would like to testify, | 22 | took a close look at those regulations, and in some |
| 23 | please be sure that you have indicated so on the sign-in | 23 | instances elected to adopt similar language that we |
| 24 | sheet. | 24 | thought aided in clarifying and what's consistent with |
| 25 | You will not be sworn in today when you | 25 | our own statute. In some places we elected not to speak |
| | Page 7 | | Page 9 |

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

| 1 | to those and thought that that was not necessary for our | 1 | 11087(I) that clarifies the statutory reference later on |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2 | purposes. And sometimes we addressed the same topic but | 2 | in the provisions to the particular rules for the |
| 3 | in language we felt was more streamline or better | 3 | highest paid ten percent of employers and employees. |
| 4 | tailored to the CFRA. | 4 | We added a definition of 11087(p) that the |
| 5 | The final prongs of reference that's the | 5 | statutes reference to the reason of a birth of a child |
| 6 | statute of the regulations, finally, non-duplication | 6 | includes the often used expression baby bonding. |
| 7 | which is the notion that the regulation shouldn't serve | 7 | In terms of definitions, we added to the |
| 8 | the same purpose as a statute or unnecessarily | 8 | definition of spouse to include same-sex partners and |
| 9 | incorporate statutory language and provisions themselves | 9 | marriage in light of the US Supreme Court's ruling in |
| 10 | into the regulations. | 10 | Hollingsworth versus Perry, which left intact the |
| 11 | Now the final prong the code itself | 11 | district court Perry versus Schwarzenegger that violated |
| 12 | acknowledges is at tension somewhat with clarity, | 12 | the Equal Protection Clause. |
| 13 | meaning there are times when duplicating the statute | 13 | We have added clarifications at 1109(a) and (b) |
| 14 | would aid clarity, but overly doing so is also | 14 | to an employee right to reinstatement after the CFRA |
| 15 | discouraged and in fact prohibited by the APA. | 15 | Leave and his or her rights upon the return to work |
| 16 | So we sort of approached that on a case-by-case | 16 | including being able to return to the same position or |
| 17 | basis in terms of what we thought utilizes some of the | 17 | one that is substantially equivalent. |
| 18 | language directly out of the statute that would help | 18 | We added a number of clarifications at 1109(c) |
| 19 | with clarity but without some sort of overduplicating or | 19 | to the narrow reinstatement requirement exception under |
| 20 | unnecessarily duplicating statutory labeling. | 20 | certain circumstances for leaves taken by key employees, |
| 21 | So a couple of the noteworthy updates. There | 21 | and a clarification that the employee must be offered |
| 22 | are a number of clarifications that were made to the | 22 | the opportunity to return to work early if an employer |
| 23 | definition section which were contained in 11087, such | 23 | tends to invoke the key employee exception. |
| 24 | as a clarification at D3 as to who the employer is when | 24 | We added a provision at 11089(d)(3) if an |
| 25 | there's multiple legal business entities and clarifying | 25 | employee in CFRA fraudulently <sic> they're not entitled</sic> |
| 20 | Page 10 | | Page 12 |
| | 1 age 10 | | Tage 12 |
| 1 | that multiple entities can in fact be joint employers or | 1 | to the job protections in CFRA. However, to invoke this |
| 2 | both subject to the requirements of the CFRA if they | 2 | exception to the underlying return to work requirement, |
| 3 | constitute joint employers or integrated employers. | 3 | it is the employer's burden to provide that this CFRA |
| 4 | We made a number of clarifications to the | 4 | was fraudulently obtained. |
| 5 | definition of eligible employee in that it includes | 5 | We addressed in 11090(e)(3) the scenario of |
| 6 | clarifying that the definition of work for purposes of | 6 | incremental use of CFRA Leave in the contents of a |
| 7 | the CFRA hours requirement is the California Labor Code | 7 | workplace where it's physically impossible to return to |
| 8 | definition and not the Fair Labor Standards Act | 8 | work during the day. Typical examples of that would be |
| 9 | definition. | 9 | someone that works on an aircraft or on a train, and if |
| 10 | We clarified if an employee has an extensive | 10 | they miss part of their shift, it's pretty hard to pick |
| 11 | break in service for seven or more years that the past | 11 | up midflight and continue working. So we clarified that |
| 12 | service need not be counted by the employer in | 12 | in that context if it's physically impossible that the |
| 13 | determining whether the employee has met the 12 months | 13 | entire shift would constitute using CFRA for purposes of |
| 14 | of service requirement. If the break was caused by | 14 | incremental use. |
| 15 | military service obligation, the exception does not | 15 | But we did also clarify, and I think the way |
| 16 | apply. | 16 | it's a little more forceful in the FMLA, it truly has to |
| 17 | Finally we added some clarifications to covered | 17 | be physically impossible. So if there are tasks that |
| 18 | employer in 11087(d) by connecting it to covered | 18 | employees can do on the back end, like administrative |
| 19 | employee. Because we want we thought it would aid | 19 | tasks that they have to do after they get off the flight |
| 20 | sort of in more practical terms when an employer has | 20 | or train or things that could be done, that that |
| 21 | obligations of under the CFRA. And and that is | 21 | wouldn't constitute physical impossibility. If there's |
| 22 | connected in large respect to whether they have covered | 22 | work to be done, they should have the opportunity to do |
| 23 | employees that the various substantiative provisions | 23 | that. |
| 24 | make them subject to. | 24 | Finally, we made clear at 11090(e)(4), |
| 25 | We added a definition of key employee at | 25 | employers can make part exemptions from salaries of |
| | Page 11 | | Page 13 |
| L | 145011 | | 1 4 5 6 1 5 |

| 1 | exempt employees who use intermittent CFRA Leave but | 1 | certification, but it cannot be less. |
|----------|--|----|--|
| 2 | only under the narrow circumstances that leave part that | 2 | We clarified that CFRA Leave does not have to |
| 3 | are otherwise allowable by the California Labor Code in | 3 | be paid except to clarify that it's consistent with |
| 4 | the industrial wage orders. | 4 | FMLA. An employer can require an employee to use |
| 5 | I'm going to turn it over to my colleague on | 5 | accrued paid sick leave during CFRA Leave during his or |
| 6 | the Council to pick up from there. | 6 | her own serious health condition or for other reasons |
| 7 | MS. BRODSKY: Starting with 11091(a)(1) having | 7 | permissible under the employer's policies, and that the |
| 8 | to do with notice, we added that unless an employer | 8 | employee cannot take 12 weeks of unpaid leave and then |
| 9 | waives its employee's notice obligations described | 9 | use paid sick leave to extend the time he or she could |
| 10 | herein, an employee shall provide at least verbal notice | 10 | be off work. |
| 11 | sufficient to make the employer aware that the employee | 11 | In 11092(b)(3), it begins if an employee |
| 12 | needs CFRA Leave. | 12 | requests leave for a CFRA-qualifying event, the employer |
| 13 | And we also added that the employer should | 13 | can require an employee to use accrued vacation or paid |
| 14 | inquire further the employee if necessary to determine | 14 | time off during the otherwise unpaid portion of the CFRA |
| 15 | whether the employee is requesting CFRA Leave and to | 15 | Leave. But we proposed to conform to FMLA that if an |
| 16 | obtain necessary information concerning the leave such | 16 | employee uses paid leave under circumstances that do not |
| 17 | as the commencement date, expected duration, and other | 17 | qualify as CFRA Leave, the leave will not count against |
| 18 | pertinent information. | 18 | the employee's CFRA Leave entitlement. |
| 19 | And what this means is that the employer can | 19 | With respect to health benefits, starts with |
| 20 | waive an employee's notice obligations just as it can | 20 | 11092. We clarified that the employer's obligation to |
| 21 | under FMLA. And it also recognizes that tried to | 21 | provide health benefits extends both through both the |
| 22 | recognize it. An employee may not be requesting CFRA | 22 | Pregnancy Disability Leave and the CFRA Leave. In case |
| 23 | Leave, which is consistent with a recent ninth circuit | 23 | there was some ambiguity about that that has that |
| 24 | case called Escriba versus Foster Poultry Farms in which | 24 | will be resolved. |
| 25 | the Court held that an employee can affirmatively | 25 | And this was especially helpful, we think, to |
| | Page 14 | | Page 16 |
| 1 | destruction of the second state of the second state of the second state. | 1 | |
| 1 | decline to take an FMLA leave and similarly under the | | employers who do not do business in California or |
| 2 | proposed regulation an employee could affirmatively | 2 | exclusively do business in California who might be used |
| 3 4 | decline to use the CFRA Leave. | 3 | to the FMLA and not to California's Pregnancy Disability |
| | With respect to notice, we also revised and | 5 | Leave. |
| 5 | amended the regulation that existed as to this to | | If a if an employee generally if an |
| 6 | clarify that employers may not retroactively designate | 6 | employee fails to return from a leave after the leave is |
| 7 | leave as CFRA Leave after the employee has returned to | 8 | expired or works less than 30 days after returning to |
| 8 | work without the employee's consent and except under | | work, the employer can recover health benefits that |
| 9 | those same circumstances provided for in FMLA and its | 9 | premiums that it pay for maintaining health insurance. |
| 10 | implementing regulations. With respect to $11001(c)(c)$ which has to do | 10 | We, in the proposed regulations, clarify to |
| 11 | With respect to 11091(a)(6), which has to do | 11 | we added that an employee who retires from CFRA Leave or during the first 20 days is deemed to have returned to |
| 12 | with the employer response to a leave request, we | 12 | during the first 30 days is deemed to have returned to |
| 13 | modified the regulation to say that the employer shall | 13 | work so that that employee who retires cannot be charged |
| 14 15 | respond to the leave request as soon as practicable and | 14 | back by the employer for premiums that the employer paid |
| 15 16 | in any event no later than five business days after | 15 | to maintain health insurance. This conforms to FMLA. |
| 16 17 | receiving the employee's request. We made a change from | 16 | With respect to benefits also, we proposed to |
| 17 | ten days to five days, which conforms to FMLA similar | 17 | conform CFRA regs to FMLA Regs, regarding employer's |
| 18 | FMLA Regulation. | 18 | obligation to maintain group health coverage requiring |
| 19 | The time to obtain certification, also we made | 19 | employee contributions when group coverage can be |
| 20 | a clarified change in that timing. If employer may | 20 | stopped and when employees can be required to pay their |
| 21 | require that the employee provide any certification | 21 | own insurance premiums. |
| 22 | showing a serious medical condition within no less than | 22 | With respect to the treatment of unpaid CFRA |
| 23 | 15 calendar days of the employer's request for such | 23 | Leave compared to other leaves, generally during a CFRA |
| 24 25 | certification, that means that the employer can get the | 24 | Leave an employee is entitled to accrue seniority and |
| 2.5 | employee more than 15 calendar days to provide | 25 | participate to health plans to the same extent as it |
| | Page 15 | 1 | Page 17 |

1-800-288-3376

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

| 1would apply to other kinds of leave.1interactive process under the disate2To explain this, we proposed adopting an FMLA2provisions.3standard that unpaid CFRA Leave for the employee's own3And and then we in the4serious health conditions is compared to other unpaid3And and then we in the5disability leaves, whereas unpaid CFRA Leave for all4reiterate this point just to drive it h6other purposes are compared to other paid leaves offered5In 11094, we added to the7by the employer.7Retaliation and Protection From In8We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a9Government Code, makes it illegal9break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority10In the we is the second s | e next section, we home that these sions. e title is terference with CFRA hent that's the |
|--|--|
| standard that unpaid CFRA Leave for the employee's own serious health conditions is compared to other unpaid disability leaves, whereas unpaid CFRA Leave for all other purposes are compared to other paid leaves offered by the employer. We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority And and then we in the reiterate this point just to drive it h are really separate statutory provis In 11094, we added to the Retaliation and Protection From In Rights. 12945.2(t) of the government Government Code, makes it illegal | home that these sions. e title is terference with CFRA hent that's the |
| 4 serious health conditions is compared to other unpaid 5 disability leaves, whereas unpaid CFRA Leave for all 6 other purposes are compared to other paid leaves offered 7 by the employer. 8 We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a 9 break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority 4 reiterate this point just to drive it has a reiterate this point just to drive the re | home that these sions. e title is terference with CFRA hent that's the |
| disability leaves, whereas unpaid CFRA Leave for all other purposes are compared to other paid leaves offered by the employer. We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority are really separate statutory provision are really separate statutory provision In 11094, we added to the Retaliation and Protection From In Rights. 12945.2(t) of the government Government Code, makes it illegal | sions. e title is terference with CFRA nent that's the |
| other purposes are compared to other paid leaves offered by the employer. We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority Government Code, makes it illegal | e title is terference with CFRA nent that's the |
| 7by the employer.7Retaliation and Protection From In8We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a8Rights. 12945.2(t) of the government9break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority9Government Code, makes it illegal | terference with CFRA nent that's the |
| 8We add, "CFRA leave shall not constitute a8Rights. 12945.2(t) of the government9break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority9Government Code, makes it illegal | nent that's the |
| 9 break in service or cause the employee to lose seniority 9 Government Code, makes it illegal | |
| | to for an employer |
| | |
| 10 even if other paid or unpaid leave constitutes a break 10 or any other person to interfere wi | ith an employee's CFRA |
| 11 in service for purposes of establishing longevity or 11 rights. | |
| 12 seniority or for layoff, recall, promotion, job 12 And that provision was adde | ed to FEHA in 2011. |
| 13 assignment, or seniority-related benefits." 13 The regulations on this are all new | |
| 14 This creates an exception to the general rule 14 generally conform to FMLA and rep | - |
| 15 because it means that an employee cannot lose seniority 15 regulations that minimally address | |
| 16 while on CFRA Leave even if employees on other kinds of 16 I want to draw your attentio | |
| 17 leave can lose it. 17 says an employee cannot waive no | |
| 18 The employer notice obligation we added a a 18 employees to waive their prospection | |
| 19 statement that if the employer changes its health plans 19 Per one of the proposed reg | |
| 20 or benefits while an employee is on CFRA Leave, the 20 example, acceptance of light duty a | |
| ²¹ employer must give notice to the employee that he or she ²¹ a CFRA Leave does not constitute a | - |
| 22 is subject to the newer change plan or benefits to the 22 CFRA Leave. And in Escriba, the c | - |
| 23 same extent as if the employee were not on leave. This 23 before, the ninth circuit said declin | |
| again conforms to FMLA. 24 again conforms to FMLA. 24 Leave is not a waiver of one's right | - |
| 25 With respect to 11093, the proposal, we the 25 that. That's in the regulation. | is. We reiterate |
| | Daga 20 |
| Page 18 | Page 20 |
| 1 title we added to the heading "the Relationship Between 1 Posting of notice. Employer | s are required to |
| 2 CFRA Leave and Pregnancy Disability Leave." The 2 post CFRA notice to their employee | |
| 3 relationship between CFRA Leave and Non-Pregnancy 3 right to request a CFRA Leave, and | |
| 4 Related Disability Leave. This heading is a reminder 4 posted in a conspicuous case. 110 | |
| 5 that the statutes entail separate rights and 5 electronic posting at the worksite s | |
| 6 obligations. 6 conspicuous place. | |
| 7 So you have to look at disability rights that 7 And in addition, the notice n | nust he for the |
| 8 an employee would have under the disability provisions 8 non-English speaking work force m | |
| 9 of the FEHA separate from the CFRA Regulations and 9 language spoken by at least ten pe | |
| 10 obligations and rights under the CFRA. And also 10 force. That's in 11095(c). | |
| 10 obligations and rights under the CFRA. And also 10 for torce. That's in 1105(c). 11 compared to pregnancy there are three really parallel 11 The we the regulation of the | clarifies in the |
| 11 Compared to pregnancy there are three really parallel 12 tracks. 12 tracks. | |
| | |
| | |
| 5 | |
| 15 employer is not required to provide PEL plus 12 weeks of 15 The notice would state now | - |
| 16 CFRA Leave for the mother's own pregnancy disability by 16 require reinstatement, but to disting the adding this data not even to employer's other | |
| 17 adding this does not excuse the employer's other 18 adding this does not excuse the employer's other 19 adding this does not exc | • |
| 18 obligations under the FEHA such as the obligation to | |
| 19 provide reasonable accommodation under the disability | |
| 20 provisions. So you have to look at each each statute 20 position. So the notice makes that | |
| 21 separately. 21 more clear now in the notice the e | employers are required |
| 22 The Pregnancy Disability Law does not Leave 22 to post. | |
| 23 Act does not cut right it does not impact reasonable 23 Finally, we the last regula | |
| 24 accommodation, and it doesn't doesn't reduce the 24 modified or proposed to modify sta | |
| 25right to reasonable accommodation or the right to an25"The Council incorporates | • |
| Page 19 | Page 21 |

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

| 1 | federal regulations that became effective | 1 | I also would like to caution the Council |
|----|--|-------|---|
| 2 | March 8, 2013, to the extent and these are | 2 | against striking currently-existing language in the |
| 3 | regulations promulgated by the Department of | 3 | regulations. While I can appreciate the need for |
| 4 | Labor to interpret the FMLA and to the | 4 | conciseness and not repeating what's already in the |
| 5 | extent we have incorporated by reference, | 5 | statute, often these regulations are the go to for |
| 6 | those regulations as of that date to the | 6 | laypersons such as Human Resources personnel and other |
| 7 | extent that the FMLA regs are not | 7 | individuals who need kind of a one-stop shop for some |
| 8 | inconsistent with the California Government | 8 | guidance on how to apply the California Family Rights |
| 9 | Code section 129452.2, which is CFRA, or any | 9 | Act. They go to these regulations, and I think it's |
| 10 | other state law or constitution." | 10 | important not to direct them to other statutes and other |
| 11 | And this is virtually the same as what was in | 11 | regulations that may be difficult to find. |
| 12 | the regulations before but but because we are | 12 | So for purposes of clarity, there's many places |
| 13 | required by the Office of Administrative Law to be | 13 | in which and I'll specify them as I go on, but |
| 14 | specific as as Council Chair Mandelbaum pointed out, | 14 | there's many areas where I think the language that the |
| 15 | we chose to be specific by specifying a particular date | 15 | Council has proposed to strike should be reinstated for |
| 16 | in the FMLA Regulations so that if they change we would | 16 | purposes of clarity which I think is really important. |
| 17 | revisit that and make sure that the changes that would | 17 | With those comments, I'd like to go to specific |
| 18 | be promulgated later would still be consistent with how | 18 | sections of the regulations. As I said, we did submit |
| 19 | we want to view the regulations. | 19 | written comments, so there will be more detail there. |
| 20 | So that's the summary. And we are really eager | 20 | But I wanted to highlight our concerns or our comments |
| 21 | now to hear from you. | 21 | about some of these. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: We'll open up the | 22 | First of all, Section 11087(b)(1). This is |
| 23 | floor to those who wish to provide public comment. | 23 | just one area where we would urge the Council to |
| 24 | MS. LANGSTON: Good afternoon. My name is | 24 | reinstate the language that it has proposed to strike, |
| 25 | Rachel Langston, and I'm a staff attorney at the Legal | 25 | given clarity concerns that we have. $11087(b)(1)(a)$ |
| | Page 22 | | Page 24 |
| 1 | Aid Society Employment Law Center here in San Francisco. | 1 | regarding "warrants the participation of the employee." |
| 2 | I'd like to begin by thanking the Council for | 2 | We would recommend expanding that definition or |
| 3 | the opportunity to make these public comments on these | 3 | clarifying what might constitute participation. |
| 4 | important amendments to the regulations. | 4 | At the Employment Law Center, we hear from a |
| 5 | I'm here today on behalf of not only the | 5 | number of caregivers for family members who need to do a |
| 6 | Employment Law Center, but we have also submitted | 6 | wide variety of things as part of their care, including |
| 7 | written comments on behalf of the ACLU of Southern | 7 | traveling to and from the care recipient, assisting the |
| 8 | California, the California Women's Law Center, | 8 | recipient with tasks that are made difficult or |
| 9 | Disability Rights Legal Center, Equal Right Advocates, | 9 | impossible by the illness, such as moving to a new home, |
| 10 | and Women's Employment Rights Clinic at Golden Gate | 10 | arranging third party care for the recipient, and we |
| 11 | University School of Law. | 11 | would also so we have suggested some language to |
| 12 | I'd like to start by making two general | 12 | incorporate that broader definition. |
| 13 | comments with regard to these proposed amendments. The | 13 | We would also recommend putting a definition |
| 14 | first regards the incorporation of the updated FMLA | 14 | into this section for psychological comfort and care, |
| 15 | Regulations where the CFRA Regulations are otherwise | 15 | and noting that it includes spending time with a |
| 16 | silent. We have some concerns about this whole silent | 16 | seriously ill or dying child, parent, spouse, or |
| 17 | corporation. | 17 | domestic partner. |
| 18 | As you know, the CFRA preceded FMLA and has | 18 | Finally, in this section we would recommend |
| 19 | always provided broader protections than the federal | 19 | including the following definition of arranging third |
| 20 | FMLA, and the protections of the regulations have been | 20 | party care. We would recommend that it be included that |
| 21 | broader than the FMLA Regulations. Our concern is that | 21 | it can involve communication with medical providers, |
| 22 | by incorporating these 2013 federal regulations, we will | 22 | visiting, potentially, nursing homes, or assisted living |
| 23 | limit the the protections that have been available | 23 | facilities, interviewing potential caregivers, or |
| 24 | and are currently available to Californians under the | 24 | calling insurance companies and dealing with all the |
| 25 | California Family Rights Act. | 25 | bureaucracy that often comes up when someone is going |
| | | 1 - 0 | bulleauerue) allae ertein eerliee ap milein eerlie ie geing |
| | Page 23 | | Page 25 |

Г

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

٦

| 1 through a serious health condition. 1 adopting this regulation, individuals who are currently 2 Moving on to section 11087(b)(2), we would service not being an issue, would in olonger be covered. 4 decision in Lonicki versus Sutter Health Central in service not being an issue, would in one pare prevention within the mee be anguage added to explain that an employee's ability to perform similar employment for 6 another employer des not necessarily establish that there's no serious health condition for the purpose of taking CFRA Leave. 11 11087(c), the definition of 'hild' is a reality Te work of a central component of the act, soo We would asso encoursept the Caunci to carrify 13 important definition to this particular – to the carries of the anguage. Te soot of a central component of the act, soo 16 we feel that that definition is inductant to include. Te soot of a central component of the act, soo 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that Te soot of a central component of the act, soo 18 include the idea that in loco parentis include the Fee that the child's of the partners. 18 right of LOBT individuals to bond with and ace ror Now kor to a pendoymee section the act, soo 19 rights of LOBT | | | | |
|---|----|---|----|---|
| 2 Moving on to section 11087(b)(2), we would recommend in order to reflect the Supreme Court's decision in Lonicki versus Sutter Health Central in 2008, that there be language added to explain that employee's ability to perform similar employment for another employer does not necessarily establish that there's no serious health condition for the purpose of taking CFAA Leave. 3 Section 1108783 regarding the relationship with 2008, that there be language added to explain that employee's ability to perform similar employment for another employer does not necessarily establish that there's no serious health condition for the purpose of taking CFAA Leave. 3 Section 1108783 regarding the relationship with 2008, that there be language added to explain that there is no serious health condition for the purpose of taking CFAA Leave. 3 Section 11087(b) regarding the relationship with the definition of 'child's a really 20 3 Section 11087(b) regarding covered employer. 11 11087(c), the definition of this particular – to the 21 1 It bab is now hear to requalify for CFAA 21 The soft of another engraphy 21 Section 11087(b) regarding covered employer. 21 The soft individuals to bond with and care for 23 Section 11087(c) regarding covered employer. 2 24 that if for some reason the worksite from one of 24 2 3 3 25 that if for some reason the worksite from one of 24 1 work for a period of time and there finding 23 2 < | 1 | through a serious health condition. | 1 | adopting this regulation, individuals who are currently |
| a recommend in order to reflect the Supreme Courts' decision in Lonick versus Sutter Health Central in 5 2008; that there be language added to explain that an 6 employed's ability to perform similar employment for another employer des on the cessarily establish that 5 Pregnancy Disability Leave, well a commen may reach their on year FML allewib because and in the definition of thild's areally 11087(c), the definition of 'child' is areally 12 bonding leave but working an additional 1250 hours if she 120 bonding leave but working an additional 1250 hours if she 120 bonding leave but working an additional 1250 hours if she 120 bonding leave but working an additional 1250 hours if she 120 bonding leave but working an additional 1250 hours if she 120 bonding leave but working an additional 1250 hours if she 120 bonding leave but hasn't yet taken her CRA. Tis sort of a central component of the act so the indive her definition is important to include. work far a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 about from and reports to and receives assignments from and 120 bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 120 work for a period of time and then | | | 2 | |
| 4 decision in Lonidd versus Sutter Health Central in employee's ability to perform similar employment for another employee's ability to aver because there and the serious health condition for the purpose of the discipance Disability Leave, with the something that we think needs to be clainfied. 5 10 Moving on, we recommend that former section important definition of 'child' is a really in would also encourage the Council to clarify 11 11087(c), the definition of 'child' is a really in the definition of 'child' is a really in the definition of 'child' is a really 12 feel that the definition is important to include. in childer of their partners. Something leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she children and the children of their partners. 13 Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. is assomething leave immediately following the Pregnancy work for a period of time and the children of their parameters. 14 GEBT individuals to bond with and care for orights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for ouclude buskied of California. We think this is a - 24 would urge the Council to reinstate the language explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees important because a lot of employers don't understand sout from our callers to the Emplay that the tast socurating should happen outside of California, that's is acovered employer. We think that is imp | | | 3 | |
| 5 2008, that there be language added to explain that an employee's ability to perform similar employment for another employer des n thecessarily establish that 5 Pregnancy Disability Leave, we'd recommend striking the another employer des not necessarily establish that 1 there's no serious health condition for the purpose taking CPA Leave. 5 Pregnancy Disability Leave, we'd recommend striking the anguage the phrase that is also an FML Leave because pregnancy momen may reach their on eyear FML All surpose pregnancy momen may reach their on eyear FML All surpose pregnancy momen may reach their on eyear FML All surpose pregnancy momen may reach their on eyear FML All surpose pregnancy momen may reach their on eyear FML All surpose pregnancy momen may reach their on eyear FML All surpose pregnancy momen may reach they to requalify for CFRA 1 The same may pregnancy their children of their pattness. 10 We would also encourage the Council to reinstate the language they have to council to reinstate the language their children and the children of their pattness. 2 We would urge the Council to reinstate the language they have to council to reinstate. 10 Worksite. For example, when someone works out of their a counting should happen outside of California, that's inportant be and the thite stord california, that's include this strict - the language that the Council to also include the strict of the MTA Regulations, and is a covered employer. We think that's important to is a duet store oversify the MTA the Stare (California is an employer sand integrated employer. 1 worksite. For example, when someone works cout of their anomeyere requirement during Pregnancy D | | • | 4 | |
| 6 employee's ability to perform similar employment for another employer does not necessarily establish that there's no serious health condition for the purpose of staking CFRA Leave. 6 language the phrase that is als on FMAL Leave because pregnant women may reach their one year FMLA eligibility is requirement while on Pregnancy Disability Leave, which is something that we think rest to be clarified. 1 11087(c), the definition of child be reinstated. We feel that the definition of child is a really important definition is this particular - to the claffornia Family Rights Act. 10 We would also encourage the Council to clarify that a new mother does not have to requalify if she takes bonding leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she is something that we think it's important to include. 16 we feel that that definition is important to include. 15 Right now she doesn't have to requalify if she include the idea that in loco parentis include the include the idea that in loco parentis include the inghts of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for their children and the children of their partners. 16 Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. 21 We would urge the Council to reinstate the language is important because a lot of employers don't understand sould hoppen outside of California, that's to reinstate. 1 worksite. For example, when somene works out of their home and reports to and reports to and reports to an explaining that the place for counting that bit durither, but we do hink that that language is important to reinstate. 1 1 that for some reason the worksite from o | | | | |
| 7 another employer does not necessarily establish that 7 pregnant wome may reach their one year FNLA eligibility 8 there's no serious health condition for the purpose of is monthing requirement while on Pregnancy Disability Leave, which 10 Moving on, we recommend that former section 10 We would also encourage the Council to clarify 11 11087(c), the definition of child be reinstated. We 10 We would also encourage the their council to clarify 12 feel that the definition of "child" is a really 11 that a new mother does not have to requalify if for CFRA 13 important definition of "child" is a really 12 booking leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she 14 California Family Rights Act. 14 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that 16 we feel that that definition is important to include. 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that 17 We also recommend that that section be amended to 18 same rule apply if the new mother chooses to go back to 18 include that place for counting the 75 employees 14 Now feed council to reinstate the language 21 Regarding section 11087(c)(4), this is a - | | | | |
| 8 there's no serious health condition for the purpose of taking CRA Leave. 1 taking CRA Leave. <l< td=""><th></th><td></td><th></th><td></td></l<> | | | | |
| taking CFRA Leave. Moving on, we recommend that former section Moving on, we recommend that former section 11057(c), the definition of 'hild' is a really that a new mother does not have to requalify for CFRA bisability Leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she 13 incortant definition of insiparticular - to the 14 California Family Rights Act. Tit's of a central component of the act, so we feel that that definition is important to include. include that definition is portant to include. include the idea that in loop parents include the 19 rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for 19 rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for 20 their children and the children of their partners. Section 11087(c) (th) regarding cover de employer. we would urge the Council to reinstate the language 21 sexplaining that the place for counting the 75 employees 23 about form our callers to the Employment Law Center. where they have to count. And Til go into that a bit 3 where they have to count. And Til go into that abit 4 furthey, buve do think that language is important 5 include this strict - the language that the Council naguage is important 5 include this strict - the language that the Council naguage is important 5 include this strict - the language that the council naguage is important 5 include this strict - the language that the council has 3 index this kit's important to also 5 include this strict - the language that the council has 4 there howe. Torinstance, for many the Simple state of California, that's 5 include this strict - the language that the council has 5 is covered employers. We think this important to 5 include this strict - the language that the Council has 6 regarding Section 11087(c)(3). This is a complex 4 we would suggest that the council lang that the strict - the language that the council hasthe 5 include this strict - the language that the council has | | | | |
| 10 Moving on, we recommend that former section 10 We would also encourage the Council to clarify, 11 11087(c), the definition of 'child' is a really 11 that a new mother does not have to requalify for CFRA 13 important definition of 'child' is a really 12 bonding leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she 13 important definition of 'child' is a really 14 bloadbilly Leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she 14 California Family Rights Act. 15 Right now she doesn't have to requalify if she 15 It's sort of a central component of thea t, so 16 takes bonding leave immediately following the Pregnancy 16 we feel that that definition is important to include. 17 bisability Leave, and we think it's important that that 18 include the idea that in loco parentis include the 18 same rule apply if the new mother choses to go back to 19 right now she doesn't have to requalify of CRI 18 same rule apply if the new mother foor- near 21 Section 11087(d) regarcing covered employer. 14 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 22 ouclass the happeore outid to erinstate the language 18 < | | | | |
| 11 11087(c), the definition of child be reinstated. We 11 that a new mother does not have to requalify for CFRA 12 feel that the definition of this particular - to the 13 chooses to return to work following her Pregnancy 14 California Family Rights Act. 14 Disability Leave but hasn't yet taken her CFRA. 15 It's sort of a central component of the act, so 16 takes bonding leave in than to requality for CFRA 18 include the idea that in loco parents include the include the idea that in loco parents include the pregators 19 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 10 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 20 their children and the children of their partners. 11 takes bonding leave in mediately following the Pregnancy 21 Sciton 11087(d) (regarding covered employer. 22 another complex issue that wo ofthen hear from - hear 23 explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees 24 another complex issue that wo ofthen hear from - hear 24 accounting should happen outside of California, that's another complex issue that wo ofthen hear from - hear 25 for what happens when someone works out of their home and reports to and receives assignments from an <tr< td=""><th></th><td>5</td><th></th><td>-</td></tr<> | | 5 | | - |
| 12 feel that the definition of "child" is a really 12 bonding leave by working an additional 1250 hours if she 13 important definition to this particular – to the 13 choices to return to work following her Pregnancy 14 California Family Right Act. 14 Disability Leave that that or equalify if she 15 It's sort of a central component of the act, so 15 Right now she doesn't hash to requalify if she 16 we feel that that definition is important to include. 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that 16 takes bonding leave immediately following the Pregnancy 16 we feel that in loco parentis include the 16 takes bonding leave immediately following the Pregnancy 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important than care for 16 takes bonding leave immediately following the Pregnancy 20 where the place for councit to reinstate. 20 within the first parent the place for councit to reinstate. 21 21 that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, hat's 3 about from one: califor formia. And 211 go into that a bit 21 that if for some reason the worksite from | | | | |
| important definition to this particular to the diffornia Family Rights Act. California Family Rights Act. Surve of a cantral component of the act, so we feel that that definition is important to include. We also recommend that that section be amended to include the idea that in loco parentis include the include the idea that in loco parentis include the include the idea that in loco parentis include the section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. We would urge the Council to reinstate the language section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. We would urge the Council to reinstate the language section 11087(d) regarding the 75 employee could be outside of California. We think this is important because a lot of employers don't understand accounting should happen outside of California, that's where they have to count. And Till go into that a bit for instance, there might be an example that if someane works from none of accounting should happen outside of California, that's protosed to strike noting that the State of California is a covered employer. We think that's important to for instance, there might be an example that if someone works from hore in California and reports to counting the 75 employees with in the Simportant to for instance, there might be an example that if someone works from hore in California and reports to counting the 75 employees with the Simportant to include this strict - the language term provers. for instance, there might be an example that if someone works from hore in California and reports to counting the 75 employees with the form Applauitons. 20 <li< td=""><th></th><td></td><th></th><td></td></li<> | | | | |
| 14 California Family Rights Act. 14 Disability Leave but hasn't yet taken her CFRA. 15 It's sort of a central component of the act, so 16 Right now she doesn't have to requalify if she 17 We also recommend that that section be amended to 16 Right now she doesn't have to requalify if she 18 include the idea that in loco parentis include the 19 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 20 their children and the children of their partners. Section 11087(c) regarding covered employer. 21 Regarding section 11087(c)(1, this is a - 23 explaining that the place for countig the 75 employees 23 about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. 24 could be outside of California. We think this is is about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. 25 include this strict - the language that the Council instance, or far away from their home. 3 where they have to to thik that simportant to also 7 for instance, there might be an example that if 6 Similarly, we think that's important to also 7 for instance, there might be an example that if 7 Moving on to 1087(d)(3). This is a complex 7 for maker employer. 8 a c | | • | | |
| 15It's sort of a central component of the act, so15Right now she doesn't have to requalify if she16we feel that that definition is important to include.16takes bonding leave immediately following the Pregnancy18include the idea that in loco parentis include the17Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that19rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for18same rule apply if the new mother chooses to go back to11Section 11087(c)() regarding covered employer.21Regarding section 11087(c)(4), this is a22We would urge the Council to reinstate the language21Regarding section 11087(c)(4), this is a23explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees21Regarding section 11087(c)(4), this is a24could be outside of California. We think this is23about from our callers to the Employment Law Center.24acoutring should happen outside of California, that'sacounting should happen outside of California, that's13where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit1worksite. For example, when someone works out of their4further, how the a language that the Council has7corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of8proposed to strike noting that the State of California1worksite. For example, when someone works out of their15to reinstate.1worksite. For example, when someone works out of their16Similarly, we think it's important to also7corporate headquarters in New York for purposes </td <th></th> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td> | | | | |
| 16 we feel that that definition is important to include. 16 takes bonding leave immediately following the Pregnancy 17 We also recommend that that section be amended to 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that 19 rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for 18 same rule apply if the new mother chooses to go back to 20 their children and the children of their partners. 20 within the first year of the child's life. 21 Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. 20 within the first year of the child's life. 22 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 20 within the first year of the child's life. 23 explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees 20 within the first year of the child's life. 24 could be outside of California, that's 20 another complex issue that we often hear from - hear 23 accounting should happen outside of California, that's 4 4 4 were why have to count. And I'll go into that a bit 4 further, but we do think that that language is important to 16 some reay any from 5 include this strict - the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting the trais important to | | | | |
| 17 We also recommend that that section be amended to 17 Disability Leave, and we think it's important that that 18 include the idea that in loco parents include the 19 same rule apply if the new mother chooses to go back to 19 rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for 10 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 20 their children and the children of their partners. 20 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 21 Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. 21 Regarding section 11087(e)(4), this is a 22 we would urge the Council to reinstate the language another complex issue that we often hear fromhear 23 about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. 24 24 could be outside of California. We think this is another complex issue that we often hear fromhear 23 about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. 24 24 that if for some reason the worksite from one of assignments from an 3 where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit 1 worksite. For example, when someone works out of their 3 include this strict the language is inportant 1 some one works from home in California and reports to < | | | | |
| 18 include the idea that in loco parentis include the 18 small apply if the new mother chooses to go back to 19 rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for 19 work for a period of time and then take bonding leave 21 Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. 21 within the first year of the child's life. 22 We would urge the Council to reinstate the language 22 another complex issue that we often hear from hear 23 explaining that the place for counting the 75 employmest about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. 24 could be outside of California. We think this is 24 And we would suggest that the Council include an example 25 inrotant because a lot of employers don't understand 25 for what happens when someone works out of their 2 accounting should happen outside of California, that's anot emports to and receives assignments from and 3 where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit for instance, there might be an example that if 4 their horme. 5 For instance, there might be an example that if 5 include this strict the language is important 5 For instance, there might be an example that if 6 Simiarly, we think its' important to | | • | | |
| rights of LGBT individuals to bond with and care for their children and the children of their partners. Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. We would urge the Council to reinstate the language explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees could be outside of California. We think this is another complex issue that we often hear from hear about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. another complex issue that we often hear from hear about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. And we would suggest that the Council include an example for what happens when someone does not have a fixed accounting should happen outside of California, that's where they have to count. And TII go into that a bit further, but we do think that language is important to reinstate. Similarly, we think it's important to also include this strict the language that the Council has groposed to strike noting that the State of California, groposed to strike noting that the State of California graar aregarding joint employers and integrated employers. fit's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and fit's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and we would propose either striking this section or incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA Regarding Section 11087(e)(2), this is a omplex particular issue. Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is on earea methors to urgone than sterwe or this particular issue. Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is on earea methors to urgone than sterwe or this particular issue. Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is on | | | | |
| their children and the children of their partners. Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. We would urge the Council to reinstate the language explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees could be outside of California. We think this is important because a lot of employers don't understand Page 26 that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, that's where they have to count. And Til go into that a bit further, but we do think that that language is important to reinstate. to reinstate. include this strict the language that the Council has is a covered employer. We think this is a complex dirty. Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex are are argarding joint employers and integrated employers. the data the propose disection contemplates and tends to oversimplify this complex isde, given that we would propose either striking this section or include trans the proposed edection contemplates where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations, and grading section 11087(e)(2), this is one area were we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations, and particular issue. GR 825111 limits employees in the FMLA Regulations. where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. where we oppose not count where that was done prior to a where we oppose not count where that was done prior to a where we oppose not count where that was done prior to a where we oppose not count where that was done prior to a where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. where w | | | | |
| 21 Section 11087(d) regarding covered employer. 22 We would urge the Council to reinstate the language important because a lot of employers don't understand Page 26 23 about from our callers to the Employment Law Center. 24 And we would suggest that the Council include an example 25 for what happens when someone does not have a fixed Page 28 1 that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, that's where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit to reinstate. 25 include this strict - the language is important to to reinstate. 26 Similarly, we think it's important to also include this strict - the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting that the State of California to carify. 27 If s dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and eurors is that the propose either striking this scomplex (deal, given that for some reagarding joint employers and integrated employers. 28 Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is a complex or in corporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA Regulations, and tends to oversimplify this complex (dea, given that for some evers and pregnarcy Disability Leave should run for incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA Regulations. Sin full, given the complex nature of this particular issue. 29 Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is on earea employers and ntegrated. 20 CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that employers adont the Regulations. Sin full, given the complex nature of this employee eligibility by providing that a engloyer need not count where that was done prior to a employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate sin file and that the Council and the reconneed that the Council clarify that the council clarify that the council clarify that the council clarify that the counci | | - | | |
| 22 We would urge the Council to reinstate the language explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees 23 could be outside of California. We think this is 25 important because a lot of employers don't understand Page 26 24 that if for some reason the worksite from one of 25 accounting should happen outside of California, that's 3 where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit 4 further, but we do think that that language is important 5 to reinstate. 5 Similarly, we think it's important to also 1 include this strict the language that the Council has 3 proposed to strike noting that the State of California to 3 is a covered employer. We think that's important to 1 doaring. 1 Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex 2 area regarding joint employers and integrated employers. 1 and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that 4 equalations in full, given the complex nature of this 1 more and reports to and receives assignments from an 3 employer out of state, for instance, or far away from 4 their home. 5 orn instance, there might be an example that if 6 someone works from home in California and reports to 7 corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of 8 counting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius. 9 Regarding Section 11087(c)(2), this is one previous qualified for FMLA by meeting their 1 is one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave, and CFRA. 17 microprorating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA 18 codeal with at length in the FMLA Regulations. 9 Regarding section 11087(c)(2), this is one area 9 matcular issue. 10 Regarding section 11087(c)(2), this is one area 11 worksite. 12 or eyee neemider of that should be 13 where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regu | | | | - |
| explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees explaining that the place for counting the 75 employees could be outside of California. We think this is important because a lot of employers don't understand Page 26 Page 28 that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, that's where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit further, but we do think that that language is important to reinstate. Similarly, we think it's important to also include this strict the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting that the State of California is a covered employer. We think that's important to darfiy. Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex are regarding joint employers and integrated employers. t's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and ur concern is that the proposed section contemplates and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area where we oppose either striking this section or ficorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area where we oppose eadopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 29 where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 29 concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA. fingt now, obviously CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by | | | | |
| 24 could be outside of California. We think this is 24 And we would suggest that the Council include an example 25 for what happens when someone does not have a fixed Page 28 1 that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, that's 3 where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit home and reports to and receives assignments from an 4 further, but we do think that that language is important or einstate. 6 Similarly, we think it's important to also include this strict the language that the Council has 7 include this strict the language that the Council has sea regarding joint employers and integrated employers. 10 dearify. 10 Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex. 11 Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex. 10 earning CFRA deligibility during another leave, we would 11 Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex. 11 suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder 12 area regarding joint employers and integrated employers. 11 suggest that the Council clarify that the 13 and tends to oversimplify this complex nature of this 13 one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave, we would groppse | | | | |
| 25important because a lot of employers don't understand Page 2625for what happens when someone does not have a fixed Page 281that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, that's awhere they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit further, but we do think that that language is important to reinstate.1worksite. For example, when someone works out of their home and reports to and receives assignments from an employer out of state, for instance, or far away from their home.6Similarly, we think it's important to also include this strict the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting that the State of California is a covered employer. We think that's important to clarify.1someone works from home in California and reports to corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of counting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius. 911Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.1012area regarding joint employers and integrated employers. and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that the and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that particular issue.1316Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this particular issue.1417Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be whare we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 201921Or Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area employers need not count where that was done prior to a there we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA R | | | | |
| Page 26Page 281that if for some reason the worksite from one of accounting should happen outside of California, that's where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit further, but we do think that that language is important to reinstate.1worksite. For example, when someone works out of their home and reports to and receives assignments from an employer out of state, for instance, or far away from their home.5include this strict the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting that the State of California is a covered employer. We think that's important to clarify.1worksite. For example, when someone works out of their home and reports to and receives assignments from an employer out of state, for instance, or far away from their home.2include this strict the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting that the State of California is a covered employer. We think that's important to clarify.13Woring on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.112area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.1213It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and u our concern is that the proposed section contemplates and tends to oversimplify this scomplex idea, given that the readuater sin New York for purpose section contemplates and tends to oversimplify this scom or tends and tends to oversimplify this scom or< | | | | |
| 1 that if for some reason the worksite from one of 1 worksite. For example, when someone works out of their 2 accounting should happen outside of California, that's worksite. For example, when someone works out of their 3 where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit home and reports to and receives assignments from an 3 where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit mome and reports to and receives assignments from an 4 further, but we do think that that language is important mome and reports to and receives assignments from an 5 Similarly, we think it's important to also for instance, there might be an example that if 6 Similarly, we think that's important to also for instance, there might be an example that if 7 include this strict the language that the Council has for orporate headquarters in New York for purposes of 8 reported to strike noting that the State of California someone works from home in California and reports to 10 clarify. for instance, there might be an example that if 11 Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex for instance, there might be an example that if 12 area regarding joint employers and integrated employers. for someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their | 25 | | 25 | |
| 2accounting should happen outside of California, that's2home and reports to and receives assignments from an3where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit3employer out of state, for instance, or far away from4further, but we do think that that language is important5For instance, there might be an example that if5someone works from home in California and reports to56similarly, we think it's important to also67include this strict the language that the Council has78proposed to strike noting that the State of California89courting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius.9Regarding Section 11087(c)(3). This is a complex711Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex1112area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.1113the fMLA Regulations, and1314our concern is that the proposed section contemplates1415and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that1516concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17nicorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this19particular issue.20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2922CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that23mipolyeers need not count where that was done prior to24brea | | Page 26 | | Page 28 |
| 2accounting should happen outside of California, that's2home and reports to and receives assignments from an3where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit3employer out of state, for instance, or far away from4further, but we do think that that language is important5For instance, there might be an example that if5someone works from home in California and reports to56similarly, we think it's important to also67include this strict the language that the Council has78proposed to strike noting that the State of California89courting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius.9Regarding Section 11087(c)(3). This is a complex711Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex1112area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.1113the fMLA Regulations, and1314our concern is that the proposed section contemplates1415and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that1516concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17nicorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this19particular issue.20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2922CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that23mipolyeers need not count where that was done prior to24brea | 1 | that if far come reason the worksite from one of | 1 | worksite. For example, when company works out of their |
| 3where they have to count. And I'll go into that a bit3employer out of state, for instance, or far away from4further, but we do think that that language is important4their home.5Similarly, we think it's important to also5For instance, there might be an example that if6Similarly, we think it's important to also6someone works from home in California and reports to7include this strict the language that the Council has7corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of8proposed to strike noting that the State of California8counting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius.9Regarding Section 11087(d)(3). This is a complex10suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder17Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder18area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their19our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area1921Where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 292022CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that2223Were we oppose adopting the 2013 FM | | | | |
| 4further, but we do think that language is important4their home.5to reinstate.5For instance, there might be an example that if6Similarly, we think it's important to also67include this strict the language that the Council has78proposed to strike noting that the State of California89regarding Section 11087(c)(3). This is a complex711Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex1012area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.1013It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and1314we would propose either striking this section or1615and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that1516Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area1917incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA1718Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this1819particular issue.1920Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area2021where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2292122CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that2223We would also reconneen that the Council2324break of service of more than seven years. To this2425point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by2525point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25 | | | | |
| 5to reinstate.5For instance, there might be an example that if6Similarly, we think it's important to also6someone works from home in California and reports to7include this strict the language that the Council has7corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of8proposed to strike noting that the State of California8counting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius.9is a covered employer. We think that's important to9Regarding Section 11087(e)(5) that discusses10clarify.10earning CFRA eligibility during another leave, we would11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should runconcurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.16Regulations in full, given the complex nature of thispregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and19particular issue.20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area2121where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations.29bisability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22pregnarog as recognized serious pow | | | | |
| 6Similarly, we think it's important to also6someone works from home in California and reports to7include this strict the language that the Council has7corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of8proposed to strike noting that the State of California8corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of9sa covered employer. We think that's important to9Regarding Section 11087(e)(5) that discusses10clarify.10Regarding Section 11087(e)(5) that discusses11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be19particular issue.19pisability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to10where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr<> | | | | |
| 7include this strict the language that the Council has proposed to strike noting that the State of California is a covered employer. We think that's important to7corporate headquarters in New York for purposes of counting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius.9is a covered employer. We think that's important to9Regarding Section 11087(e)(5) that discusses10clarify.10earning CFRA eligibility during another leave, we would11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area20what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2920Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA b | | | | |
| 8proposed to strike noting that the State of California8counting the 75 employees within the 50-mile radius.9is a covered employer. We think that's important to9Regarding Section 11087(e)(5) that discusses10clarify.10earning CFRA eligibility during another leave, we would11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17nicorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area20what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that22be taken after that le | | | | |
| 9is a covered employer. We think that's important to clarify.9Regarding Section 11087(e)(5) that discusses earning CFRA eligibility during another leave, we would11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.10suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder 12 if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave, we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.16we would propose either striking this section or incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA particular issue.17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be ve wat counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to be taken after that leave concludes.23We would also recommend that the Council2324break of service of more than seven years. To this point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 10clarify.10earning CFRA eligibility during another leave, we would11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that23We would also recommend that the Council23point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 11Moving on to 11087(d)(3). This is a complex11suggest that the Council clarify that the remainder12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area20what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that23We would also recommend that the Council23point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 12area regarding joint employers and integrated employers.12if someone becomes qualified for FMLA by meeting their13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that22We would also recommend that the Council23point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 13It's dealt with at length in the FMLA Regulations, and13one-year requirement during Pregnancy Disability Leave,14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this18pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area2021where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 292122CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that2223employers need not count where that was done prior to a2324break of service of more than seven years. To this2425point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by2525point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25 | | | | , |
| 14our concern is that the proposed section contemplates14we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15we would suggest that the regulation clarify that the16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this18pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that23We would also recommend that the Council23point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 15and tends to oversimplify this complex idea, given that15remainder of that Pregnancy Disability Leave should run16we would propose either striking this section or1617incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA1718Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this1819particular issue.1920Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area1921where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 292122CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that2223break of service of more than seven years. To this2324break of service of more than seven years. To this2425point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25 | | 5 | | |
| 16we would propose either striking this section or16concurrently with FMLA Leave, not CFRA.17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this18pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area2021where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 292122CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that2223employers need not count where that was done prior to a2324break of service of more than seven years. To this2425point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by2525an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | 55 5 , |
| 17incorporating the earlier 1995 language from the FMLA17Right now, obviously CFRA doesn't include18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this18pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area2021where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 292122CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that2223employers need not count where that was done prior to a2324break of service of more than seven years. To this2425point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25 | | | | |
| 18Regulations in full, given the complex nature of this particular issue.18pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 29 CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that employers need not count where that was done prior to a break of service of more than seven years. To this point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by18pregnancy as a recognized serious health condition, and up once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to be taken after that leave concludes.23employers need not count where that was done prior to a break of service of more than seven years. To this point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by2425an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 19particular issue.19so once the woman qualifies for FMLA, that should be20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area20what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that22break of service of more than seven years. To this2324break of service of more than seven years. To this24include in the section following this section regarding25point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 20Regarding section 11087(e)(2), this is one area20what counts during the remainder of her Pregnancy21where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 2921Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that22be taken after that leave concludes.23employers need not count where that was done prior to a23We would also recommend that the Council24break of service of more than seven years. To this24include in the section following this section regarding25point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| where we oppose adopting the 2013 FMLA Regulations. 29 CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that employers need not count where that was done prior to a break of service of more than seven years. To this point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by Disability Leave leaving the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding to be taken after that leave concludes. We would also recommend that the Council include in the section following this section regarding an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | • | | |
| 22CFR 825.11 limits employee eligibility by providing that22be taken after that leave concludes.23employers need not count where that was done prior to a23We would also recommend that the Council24break of service of more than seven years. To this24include in the section following this section regarding25point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 23employers need not count where that was done prior to a23We would also recommend that the Council24break of service of more than seven years. To this24include in the section following this section regarding25point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 24break of service of more than seven years. To this24include in the section following this section regarding25point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by25an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | | | | |
| 25 point, CFRA doesn't include a similar limit, and so by 25 an employer's obligation to create and maintain accurate | 23 | employers need not count where that was done prior to a | 23 | |
| | - | | | |
| Page 27 Page 29 | | | | |
| | 24 | break of service of more than seven years. To this | | |

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

| 1 | records of hours worked | 1 | abanged to "ex" demostic partner for anymetric of |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | records of hours worked. | | changed to "or" domestic partner for purposes of |
| 2 | We would recommend clarifying that where an | 2 | clarity. |
| 3 | employer claims that the employee does not meet the | 3 | Section 11088(c) limitation on entitlement. We |
| 4 | eligibility requirements based on hours worked, that | 4 | would simply suggest the addition of language that |
| 5 | that employer must show, one, that they have kept | 5 | clarifies that this limitation doesn't apply to |
| 6 | records and that those records reflect that the employee | 6 | unmarried employees who work for the same employer. |
| 7 | worked less than 1250 hours. If they cannot make that | 7 | Section 11089(b), rights upon return. We would |
| 8 | showing, the presumption should be that the 1250-hour | 8 | add encourage the addition of the language pay |
| 9 | requirement was met. | 9 | benefits, working conditions, and then adding shift and |
| 10 | Similarly, if an employer denies an employee | 10 | geographic location. |
| 11 | leave, we recommend that the reg state that the | 11 | 11089(b)(2) we would also recommend the |
| 12 | employers are required to provide the employee an | 12 | addition of geographic location. |
| 13 | accounting of her hours worked if the not meeting the | 13 | For section 11089(d)(1), we would recommend |
| 14 | 1250 hours requirement is a reason for that denial of | 14 | clarifying that if shift a shift or overtime ceases, |
| 15 | leave. | 15 | that an employer can't point to a shift or overtime that |
| 16 | Similarly, we recommended a section regarding | 16 | ceases based on having accommodated an employee's |
| 17 | an employer's obligation to create and maintain records | 17 | absence. |
| 18 | of the number of employees worked for purposes of | 18 | So in other words, if an employer has made some |
| 19 | determining CFRA eligibility, again creating a | 19 | changes to accommodate someone being out on CFRA Leave |
| 20 | presumption that if an employer cannot show that they in | 20 | and then says we no longer need that new shift we |
| 21 | fact do not have 50 employees within a 75-mile radius of | 21 | created as an accommodation or those new hours that |
| 22 | the employee, that that requirement is meant for | 22 | can't be a reason for denying reinstatement or denying, |
| 23 | purposes of eligibility. | 23 | you know, the same type of position to come back to, but |
| 24 | Finally, one other recommended section that we | 24 | that in fact the employer has to reinstate and can't use |
| 25 | would suggest has to do with consequences of | 25 | any accommodation or any other leave to be a reason as a |
| | Page 30 | | Page 32 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | misinformation from the employer. We would suggest if | 1 | justification for not allowing such reinstatement. |
| 1 2 | misinformation from the employer. We would suggest if an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or | 1 | justification for not allowing such reinstatement. Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and |
| | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or | 1 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and |
| 2 3 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that | 2 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying |
| 2 3 4 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted | 2 3 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations |
| 2 3 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. | 2 3 4 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing | 2 3 4 5 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on Californians. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. For Section 11090(c)(2), computation of 12 work |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on Californians. Regarding the section 11087(s), we appreciated | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. For Section 11090(c)(2), computation of 12 work weeks. If there's an intermittent schedule, we would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on Californians. Regarding the section 11087(s), we appreciated the changes to this section in so far as they reflect | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. For Section 11090(c)(2), computation of 12 work weeks. If there's an intermittent schedule, we would ask that the Council reconsider its adoption of 29 CFR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on Californians. Regarding the section 11087(s), we appreciated the changes to this section in so far as they reflect recent court decisions upholding marriage equality. Our | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. For Section 11090(c)(2), computation of 12 work weeks. If there's an intermittent schedule, we would ask that the Council reconsider its adoption of 29 CFR 825.203, which requires an employee to make a reasonable |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on Californians. Regarding the section 11087(s), we appreciated the changes to this section in so far as they reflect recent court decisions upholding marriage equality. Our only suggestion is the "and" in the phrase partner | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. For Section 11090(c)(2), computation of 12 work weeks. If there's an intermittent schedule, we would ask that the Council reconsider its adoption of 29 CFR 825.203, which requires an employee to make a reasonable effort to schedule intermittent treatment so as not to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | an employee goes out on CFRA Leave based on moral or written information she receives from her employer, that is the reason we believe she is eligible she be granted the protections that she would have if eligible. Section 11087(r)(2) regarding continuing treatment. We would recommend that the Council not adopt or incorporate 2013 FMLA Regulations here. They require an employee to see a healthcare provider within a certain number of days, seven days, and make a second visit. This puts a burden on workers that may be very difficult for them to meet. They must not only deal with the schedules of their health care providers in order to obtain these new certifications or make these appointments, but also deal with their own schedules and that of family member caregivers who have to juggle their work and their lives in order to go to these appointments. So we would urge that the Council not place this greater burden on Californians. Regarding the section 11087(s), we appreciated the changes to this section in so far as they reflect recent court decisions upholding marriage equality. Our | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Section 11089(d)(2)(c), substantial and grievous economic injury. We recommend clarifying which including language from the FMLA Regulations 825.218(c) stating that such injury cannot include minor inconvenience and costs. Section 11089(d)(3), we recommend striking this section regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe that this is dealt with elsewhere in the regulations, for instance, in proposed section 11091(b)(2), which permits an employer to obtain a second opinion if they doubt the voracity or the sufficiency of a certification. So we feel that this is redundant, in a sense, and not needed. 11090(b), leave periods common to CFRA and FIMRA. We would recommend including language that the employer should provide notice of the method of calculation if it's a 12-month period to requesting employee. For Section 11090(c)(2), computation of 12 work weeks. If there's an intermittent schedule, we would ask that the Council reconsider its adoption of 29 CFR 825.203, which requires an employee to make a reasonable |

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

| 1 This goes back to issues of scheduling and 1 necessarily comply with whatever rules or places this begand on the imployee to consider all of these things and there is the employer is not black this beach to consider all of these things and there is not placing this burden on californias. 3 If they state an underlying reason that is CFRA qualifying regardless of the use of the words vacation is regardless of not following lengand medical 4 qualifying regardless of the use of the words vacation is regardless of not following lengand medical 6 a or or paralless of not following lengand medical 7 more the origin the employer is norther to require 8 We oppose section 11090(c)(4). It opens the origin regulatement on employers a new record-keeping requirement on employers 9 orbit considiant and the employer to require 14 employee witch conflicts with California's 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employer to an employer - employee 16 data on any preclude from allowing the use 17 We would also recommed language clarifying 18 that this regulation only apply where it really is 19 of Labor from. First of all, that the employer - employee 20 orbit with the intermitter nature of PHLA - for 21 administrative tasks or, you know, another tappe of leave <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | | | | |
|--|----|--|----|---|
| 3 a serious health condition and their family caregivers. 3 If they state an underlying responsibility is CFRA 4 It's very difficult to consider all of these things and trying to schedule doctor's appointments and other 3 If they state an underlying responsible to a official to any set of the constance of the constance official to any set of the any set of the constance of an employer of an employer of any set official to any set of the constance of the any set official to any set official any set official any set official to any set offic | 1 | This goes back to issues of scheduling and | 1 | necessarily comply with whatever rules or policies that |
| 4 Its very difficult to consider all of these things and by trying to schedule doctor's appointments and other of appointments necessary for care. And so we would encourage not placing this burden on Californians. 4 qualifying regardless of the use of the words vacation so regardless of not following the employer's practices to a 7, they should be protected under the CFRA. 9 we oppose section 11090(c)(4). It pers the ord to confusion among what constitutes required versus. Moving on to 11091(b) regardless of the use of the vords vacation to voluntary overfine. And it also frankly requires a new 1 - places a new record-keeping requirement on employers. 1 ecrification. The FMLA Regulations also caused some 1 problems. They allowed employer to contact an 1 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 1 boding leave, the employer must allow the employeer. 1 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 1 to some that two occasions. 1 | 2 | health care and other burdens placed on an employee with | 2 | an employee employer has for leave request. |
| 5 trying to schedule doctor's appointments and other 5 or regardless of not following the employer's practices 6 appointments necessary for care. And so we would 5 to a T, they should be protected under the CFRA. 8 We oppose section 11090(C/I). It opers the 3 cont or infision among what constitutes required versus 10 voluntary overtime. And it also frankly requires a new 10 employee's provider which conflicts with California's 11 places are we cord-keeping requirement on employer. 10 employee's provider which conflicts with California's 12 Section 11090(C/I). It opers the 10 employee's provider which conflicts with California's 13 fitness for duty exame very 30 days. Once again, this days. Once again, this 14 entopyee wishes to use only one week or one day of 15 having to struggle with setting up appointments or 14 entopyee wishes to use only one week or one day of 15 having to struggle with setting up appointments or 12 of CRA Leave on more than two occasions and less that 10 of Labor form. First of all, that the employeer an 12 addinistrative tasks or, you know, another type of paddini stantos uppointements or | 3 | a serious health condition and their family caregivers. | 3 | If they state an underlying reason that is CFRA |
| 6 appointments necessary for care. And so we would encourage not placing this burde on Californians. 6 to a T, they should be protected under the CFRA. 7 Moving on to 11091(b) regarding medical 7 Moving on to 11091(b) regarding medical 9 door to confusion among what constitutes required versus 9 problems. They allowed employee to contact an 11 places a new record-keeping requirement on employer. 10 employee's provider which conflicts with California's. 12 Section 11090(c) we would suggest that the 12 They also allow for an employer - employee 13 Council add an example of for instance, if an 13 fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 14 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 16 dos on at least two occasions. 16 dos on at least two occasions. 16 dos on at least two occasions and less than 19 ofCRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 and we would also encourage in this section 12 -adding language clarifying an employer. 10 and we would also encourage this the employee to and the terges to that the employee to and the terges to that the employee to and the terges to that the employee in this section 13 fita an employer inont precide the broader intent | 4 | It's very difficult to consider all of these things and | 4 | qualifying regardless of the use of the words vacation |
| 6 appointments necessary for care. And so we would encourage not placing this burde on Californians. 6 to a T, they should be protected under the CFRA. 7 Moving on to 11091(b) regarding medical 7 Moving on to 11091(b) regarding medical 9 door to confusion among what constitutes required versus 7 Moving on to 11091(b) regarding medical 10 ventow vortime. And it also frankly requires a new 9 problems. They allowed employee to contact an 11 places a new record-keeping requirement on employer. 10 employee syncider which conflicts with California's. 12 Section 11090(c) we would suggest charfying 11 goes back to the problems of an employer - employee 13 for an employer inst and the more cocasions. 16 dos on at least two occasions. 14 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 16 hand we would also encourage in this section 14 atta an employer in sot precluded from allowing the use 16 hand we would also encourage in this section 16 dos on at least two occasions. 16 and we would also encourage in this section 12 -adding language clarifying an employer. 17 And we would also encourage | 5 | trying to schedule doctor's appointments and other | 5 | or regardless of not following the employer's practices |
| 9 encourage not placing this burden on Californians. 7 Moving on to 11091(c) regarding medical 9 door to confusion among what constitutes required versus 0 certification. The FMLA Regulations also caused some 10 voluntary overtime. And it also frankly requires a new 10 employee's provider which conflicts with California's 12 Section 11090(c), we would suggest that the 10 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 13 fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 14 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employee to 16 dots or conscions. 17 We would also recommend language clarifying 18 that an employer is not precluded from allowing the use 19 of CFAL leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 woweek increments. 21 - adding language clarifying a employer. 22 - adding language clarifying a employer. 23 11090(e)(3), 1 appreciate the broader intention 24 that the employee can be temporanily 35 transfered to. 26 really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, 36 that the employee can be temporanily 37 administrative tasks or, you know, a | 6 | | 6 | |
| *** We oppose section 11090(c)(4). It opens the ovolutary overtime. And it also frankly requires a new indication of the problems. They allowed employer to contact an problems. They allowed employer to contact an over strict privacy laws. 1 places a new record-keeping requirement on employers. 10 employee sprovider which conflicts with California's very strict privacy laws. 2 Section 11090(c) we would suggest that the employee wishes to use only one week or one day of to do so on at least two occasions. 11 Per also allow for an employer to require fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this gees back to the problems of an employer - employee working around her caregiver's schedules. 16 do so on at least two occasions. 16 16 hard we would also encourage in this section 10090(c)(1) we would suggest clarifying that the council clarify some aspects of the Department of Labor form. First of all, that the employer - an 20 11 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. 12 particular form. 12 pasticular form. 22 particular form. 23 11 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. 1 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 2 2 12 pasticular form. 2 administrative tasks or, you k | 7 | | 7 | |
| 9 door to confusion among what constitutes required versus 9 problems. They allowed employee to contact an 9 problems. They allowed employeer to contact an 9 problems of an employer to contact an 9 problems of an employer to equire 1 for an employeer on the adapt of the 1 administrative tasks or provid subsection theorem the 1 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 1 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 1 prostel in would an example or they would allowed to use more vacation that the 1 projection that the employee can be temporarily 1 transfered to. 1 administrative tasks or, you know, and they problem out on 1 eave or which could in many cases require them tous 1 problems of a dargeroy and form of therwise have 1 problems on tistef render notice i | 8 | | 8 | |
| 10 outnary overtime. And it also frankly requires new 10 employee's provider which conflicts with California's 11 places a new record-keeping requirement on employer. 11 very strict privacy laws. 12 Section 11090(c), we would suggest that the 12 They also allow for an employer to require 14 employee whise to use only one week or one day of 11 very strict privacy laws. 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employee to require 15 having to struggle with setting up appointments or 16 do so on at least two occasions. 10 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less that 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less that 10 ordlog leave, we appointments or 10 ordlog language calrifying an employer. 10 of Labor form. First of all, that the employeer - employee 2 - adding language calrifying an employer. 10 of Labor form. First of all, that the employeer - employee 2 - adding language calrifying an employer. 12 adding language calrifying an employer. 2 - adding language calrifying an employer. 12 adding language calrify ing an employee. 2 bysicially inpossible and where therear ot there <t< td=""><th>9</th><td></td><th>9</th><td>-</td></t<> | 9 | | 9 | - |
| 11 - places a new record-keeping requirement on employes. 11 very strict privacy laws. 12 Section 11090(d), we would suggest that the 12 They also allow for an employer to require 13 Council ad an example of - for instance comes up diverses to use only one week or one day of 13 They also allow for an employer - employee 14 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 14 gess back to the problems of an employer - employee 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employee to 16 working around her caregiver's schedules. 17 We would also recommend language clarifying 18 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department 12 - adding language clarifying an employer. 13 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department 12 - adding language clarifying an employer. 13 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department 12 - adding language clarifying an employer. 13 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department 12 - adding language clarifying an employer. 13 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department | 10 | | 10 | |
| 12 Section 11090(d), we would suggest that the 12 They also allow for an employer to require 13 Council add an example of - for instance, if an 13 fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 14 employee winks to use only one week or one day of fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employee to fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 16 do so on at least two occasions. fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 17 We would also recommend language clarifying fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 18 that an employer is not precluded from allowing the use fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 10 ot careagiver's sot precluded from allowing the use fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 14 goes back to the problems of an employer - employee fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 12 outcleasting and also recommend language clarifying fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 12 betwoek increments. fitness for duty exam every 30 days. Once again, this 14 goes back to the problems of an employer - employee fithe the intemployee hasto the the woode inlowing the use | 11 | | | |
| 13 Council add an example of for instance, if an 14 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employee to 16 do so on at least two occasions. 17 We would also recommed language clarifying 18 that an employer is not precluded from allowing the use 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 two week increments. 21 Section 110090(e)(1) we would suggest clarifying 22 - adding language clarifying employer. 23 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is 25 physically impossible and where there aren't other 29 policies may require things that 20 employer sho transfer someone or give them other 10 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 11 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 12 policies may employer sho transfer someone or give them otuse 10 bit is and to suggest ther type of 11 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 12 policies may employer mu | | | 1 | |
| 14 employee wishes to use only one week or one day of 14 goes back to the problems of an employer - employee 15 bonding leave, the employer must allow the employee to 16 having to struggle with setting up appointments or 17 We would also recommend language clarifying 17 And we would also encourage in this section 18 that an employer is not precluded from allowing the use 17 And we would also encourage in this section 20 two-week increments. 17 And we would also encourage in this section 21 - adding language clarifying 16 boroking around her caregiver's schedules. 22 - adding language clarifying an employer. 18 that the council clarify some aspects of the Department 23 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention 22 Particular form. 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is physically impossible and where there aren't other 25 position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. 23 Conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 2 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 1 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 3 tasting treading becini 10091(a) regarding request for | | | 1 | |
| 15 baving to struggle with setting up appointments or all east two occasions. 15 having to struggle with setting up appointments or all east two occasions. 16 do so on at least two occasions. 16 working around her caregiver's schedules. 17 We would also recourage in this section 16 Working around her caregiver's schedules. 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 Mad we would also encourage in this section 20 employer that uses that may not use disclosure of the Department 19 of Labor form. First of all, that the employer - an or use disclosure of the 21 21 - adding language clarifying an employer. 20 employer that uses that may not use disclosure of the 22 23 11090(e)(3), 1 appreciate the broader intention 21 Regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 24 that the regulation only apply where it really is Page 34 22 10 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 21 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 21 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 21 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 3 transferred to. 11 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 4 | | | 1 | |
| 16 do so on at least two occasions. 16 working around her caregiver's schedules. 17 We would also recommend language clarifying 17 And we would also encourage in this section 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 back courd of the Department 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 back courd of the Department 20 two-week increments. 11 adding language clarifying an employer. 23 flag and also may not us disclosure of the 21 adding language clarifying an employer. 23 Regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 23 that this regulation only apply where threally is 24 particular form. 23 25 physically impossible and where there aren't other 23 Regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is 24 regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 25 position that the employee can be temporarily 25 regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 34 But I would encourage some clarity in the regs 5 for regloyer has to transfer someone or give them tors 5 to really solidify this and to suggest | | | | |
| 17We would also recommend language clarifying17And we would also encourage in this section18that an employer is not precluded from allowing the use18that the council clarify some aspects of the Department19of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than19that the council clarify some aspects of the Department20two-week increments.20employer that uses that may not use disclosure of the21adding language clarifying a employer.20employer that uses that may not use disclosure of the2311090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention23Regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we24that this regulation only apply where it really is24suggest the the concern that we have here is that25physically impossible and where there aren't otherPage 34241administrative tasks or, you know, another type of25many employers' policies may require things that3transferred to.1conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For4administrative tasks or, you know,1instance, they might require you to use a certain amount3transferred to.1conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For4but I would encourage some darity in the regs1conflict with ave to use more vacation that the7duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on1ad certification. We would reality encoures that we ave that would one on the sequent that a request for10Section 11091(a) regarding request that any that a requ | | | 1 | |
| 18 that an employer is not precluded from allowing the use 10 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 10 of Labor form. First of all, that the employer an 21 Section 11090(e)(1) we would suggest clarifying adding language clarifying an employer. adding language clarifying an employer. 23 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention 23 Regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is 23 Regarding section 11092(b) on paid leave, we 25 physically impossible and where three aren't other Page 34 Page 34 1 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily ransferred to. 1 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of osf vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so 4 But I would encourage some clarity in the regs of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so 7 duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use 10 Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation 11 and certification. We would really encourage the so you should b | | | 1 | |
| 19 of CFRA Leave on more than two occasions and less than 19 of Labor form. First of all, that the employer an 20 two-week increments. 21 Section 11090(e)(1) we would suggest clarifying 22 adding language clarifying an employer. 23 1090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is 25 physically impossible and where there aren't other 23 magest the the concern that we have here is that 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is 25 physically impossible and where there aren't other 26 position that the employee can be temporarily 27 transferred to. 28 to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, 29 their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. 20 Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave 21 and certification. We would really encourage the 21 conflict with the intermittent nature of FMLA. For 2 instance, they might require you to use a certain amount 3 of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so 4 you couldn't you'd have to use more vacation than the 5 FMLA Leave that you took. 6 the employer has to transfer someone or give them ottor 3 leave or which could in many cases require them to use 3 use paid leave. Whereas under FMLA - under CFRA if the 4 leave that you took. 6 the employers not provide therwise have to. 10 So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation 11 and under approved time even if you can't meet your 12 concil adopt language demonstrating that a request for 13 receiving these ther employees not provide them with CFRA Leave. 14 need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an 16 have their employees not provide therwise have tain and prove of inistance comes up and says 1 16 need vacation. | | | 1 | - |
| two-week increments. Section 11090(e)(1) we would suggest clarifying adding language clarifying an employer. 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention that this regulation only apply where it really is physically impossible and where there aren't other page 34 Page 34 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. But I would encourage some clarity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other duites if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the council adopt language demonstrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notize insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we - t allows for employeer to to provide them with CFRA Leave. need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They diffying for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They diffying for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that ading they asked for vacation. They diffying for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that anguage be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be gualifying for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be includ | | | 1 | |
| Section 11090(e)(1) we would suggest clarifying - adding language clarifying an employer. 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention that this regulation only apply where it really is physically impossible and where there aren't other administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. But I would encourage some darity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them ot us u ptheir CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the coural adopt language domostrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. Tis is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave. for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and for employeer could say, well, they asked for vacation.<th></th><td></td><th>1</th><td></td> | | | 1 | |
| 22 adding language clarifying an employer. 23 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention 24 that this regulation only apply where it really is 25 physically impossible and where there aren't other 26 position that the employee can be temporarily 27 transferred to. 28 Buf I would encourage some clarity in the regs 29 to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, 20 the employer has to transfer someone or give them other 21 duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on 22 leave or which could in many cases require them to use 20 section 11091(a) regarding request for leave 21 council adopt language demonstrating that a request for 22 variation does not in itself render notice insufficient. 23 This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows 24 for employeer so to bacally say just the wrong thing and 25 for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and 26 have that for CFRA Leave. So we would dencourage that 27 mate reguram allows an employer to requiset and the region sub and the regoreant. 28 california state Disability Insurance program. 29 califying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for 20 didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that 21 an employeer for instance comes up and says I 22 regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a 23 receiving these benefits which point the employee take up to two weeks 24 suggest the the concern that we have here is that 25 many employer's policies for requise that the employee to the receded 26 paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded 27 priories that and provide share that the ty don't 28 paid teave. So that the employee take up to two weeks 29 that the employee sweekly wages. 20 thave vacation. Nor should it matter that they do | | | 1 | |
| 11090(e)(3), I appreciate the broader intention that this regulation only apply where it really is physically impossible and where there aren't other administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. But I would encourage some clarity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other duites if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the council adopt language demonstrating that a request for wacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have tak for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. gualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for and administrative that would therwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for draft cases. Nor should it matter that they don't | | | 1 | - |
| that this regulation only apply where it really is physically impossible and where there aren't other administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. But I would encourage some clarity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the council adopt language demonstrating that a request for avacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employeer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. med vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. for employeer so that would deterwise be use of that time. 126 California State Disability Insurance program. that program allows an employer to require up to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded PFL benefits after which pain the employee can start receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of thav vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't | | | | • |
| 25 physically impossible and where there aren't other 25 many employers' policies may require things that 26 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. 3 But I would encourage some clarity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, exe that you cludh't you'd have to use more vacation that the 5 to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other 7 duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use 9 up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. So cyou should be allowed to use approved paid vacation 10 Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation 11 and certification. We would really encourage the So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation 12 This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employeer so to basically say just the wrong thing and 16 have their employeer for instance comes up and says I 17 18 need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an 19 That program allows an employeer to require up 10/dint ask for CFRA Leave. So we | | | | |
| Page 34Page 361administrative tasks or, you know, another type ofposition that the employee can be temporarilyinstance, they might require you to use a certain amount3transferred to.of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so4But I would encourage some clarity in the regsof vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so5to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know,of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so6the employer has to transfer someone or give them otherof vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so7duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out onsection 11091(a) regarding request for leaveAlso, notice requirements may differ. You may10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leavenad certification. We would really encourage theSo you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation11and certification. We would really encourage the10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for1213transfer render notice insufficient.1414Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and16have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.17If an employee for instance comes up and says I18need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an19employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. The20employer asks for leave that would otherwise be21 | | | 1 | |
| 1 administrative tasks or, you know, another type of 2 position that the employee can be temporarily 3 transferred to. 4 But I would encourage some darity in the regs 5 to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, 6 the employer has to transfer someone or give them other 7 duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on 8 leave or which could in many cases require them to use 9 up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. 10 Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave 11 and certification. We would really encourage the 12 Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for 13 vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. 14 This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows 15 for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and 16 have their employer sont provide them with CFRA Leave. 17 If an employee for instance comes up and says I 18 need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an 19 matery orgram allows an employer to require up 10 didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that <th>25</th> <td></td> <th>25</th> <td></td> | 25 | | 25 | |
| 2position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to.2instance, they might require you to use a certain amount of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so you couldn't you'd have to use more vacation than the for employer has to transfer someone or give them duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.2instance, they might require you to use a certain amount of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so you couldn't you'd have to use more vacation than the FMLA Leave that you took.3the employer has to transfer someone or give them otor up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.3of vacation that's less than an hour or half a day so you couldn't you'd have to use more vacation than the FMLA Leave that you took.10section 11091(a) regarding request for leave up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.6Also, notice requirements may differ. You may have to give your employer five days notice before you use paid leave. Whereas under FMLA under CFRA if the leave is unforeseen then you can provide less notice.10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation and other approved time even if you can't meet your employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.1014This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qu | | Page 34 | | Page 36 |
| position that the employee can be temporarily transferred to. But I would encourage some clarity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the council adopt language demonstrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employer not provide them with CFRA Leave. I aned vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't | 1 | adarinisharki a kasha ay wax hasay arakhar kura af | 1 | |
| transferred to. But I would encourage some clarity in the regs to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employees not provide them with CFRA Leave. If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't | | | | |
| 4But I would encourage some clarity in the regs4you couldn't you'd have to use more vacation than the5to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know,4you couldn't you'd have to use more vacation than the5to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know,6Have or any to suggest that, you know,6the employer has to transfer someone or give them out on8Iso, notice requirements may differ. You may7duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on8Iso, notice requirements may differ. You may8up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to9use paid leave. Whereas under FMLA under CFRA if the9up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to9use paid leave. Whereas under FMLA under CFRA if the10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation11and certification. We would really encourage the11and other approved time even if you can't meet your12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for12employer's normal vacation policies for requests and the13vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and15potential conflict here with California's paid family16have their employeer sould say, well, they asked for vacation. They16Leave. That program allows an employee to require up16idin't ask for CFRA and provides an underly | | | 1 | |
| 5to really solidify this and to suggest that, you know, the employer has to transfer someone or give them other duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.5FMLA Leave that you took.0Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave scatcin does not in itself render notice insufficient.10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation and certification. We would really encourage the vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation and other approved time even if you can't meet your employer's normal vacation policies for requests and the use of that time.14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didin't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be employer asks for leave that would otherwise be employer asks for Ieave that would otherwise be employer asks for Ieave that would otherwise be employer asks for Ieave that would otherwise be | | | | |
| 6the employer has to transfer someone or give them other6Also, notice requirements may differ. You may7duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on8leave or which could in many cases require them to use9up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave1011and certification. We would really encourage the10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for11and other approved time even if you can't meet your13vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.11and other approved time.14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and15potential conflict here with California's paid family16have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a17If an employee for instance comes up and says I16leave program which is a wage replacement benefit18need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an18California State Disability Insurance program.19didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that19That program allows an employee take up to two weeks21language be included that clarify that whether an22of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded22PFL benefits after whic | | | | |
| 7duties if at all possible to avoid forcing them out on7have to give your employer five days notice before you8leave or which could in many cases require them to use9use paid leave. Whereas under FMLA under CFRA if the9up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave1111and certification. We would really encourage the10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for11and other approved time even if you can't meet your12council adopt language demonstrating that a request for11and other approved time even if you can't meet your13vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.11and other approved time even if you can't meet your14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a16have their employee for instance comes up and says I17available to California's paid family18need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an18California State Disability Insurance program.19Inanguage be included that clarify that whether an19That program allows an employee take up to two weeks21language be included that clarify that whether an21of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded22employer asks for leave that would otherwise be22 <th></th> <td></td> <th>1</th> <td></td> | | | 1 | |
| leave or which could in many cases require them to use up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to. Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave and certification. We would really encourage the Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employees not provide them with CFRA Leave. If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't and ether the follow and other approved time even if you can't meet your ewe ployer's normal vacation policies for requests and the use of that time. meed vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't and certification. and cother approved time even if you can't meet your employee's weekly wages. If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | · · · | | |
| 9up their CFRA Leave before they would otherwise have to.9leave is unforeseen then you can provide less notice.10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation11and certification. We would really encourage the10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for11and other approved time even if you can't meet your13vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.11and other approved time even if you can't meet your14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and15potential conflict here with California's paid family16have their employee for instance comes up and says I16leave program which is a wage replacement benefit17If an employee for instance comes up and says I17available to California employees who pay into the18need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an18California State Disability Insurance program.19meloyer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They19That program allows an employee to require up20didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that19That program allows an employee take up to two weeks21language be included that clarify that whether an22of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded22PFL benefits after which point the employee can start2 | | | | |
| 10Section 11091(a) regarding request for leave10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation11and certification. We would really encourage the10So you should be allowed to use approved paid vacation12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for11and other approved time even if you can't meet your13vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.11and other approved time even if you can't meet your14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows12employer's normal vacation policies for requests and the15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a16have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a17If an employee for instance comes up and says I16leave program which is a wage replacement benefit18need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an18California State Disability Insurance program.19employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They19That program allows an employer to require up20didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that21of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded22pFL benefits after which point the employee can start22PFL benefits after which point the employee can start23qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for24the employee's weekly wages.25If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | | • |
| 11and certification. We would really encourage the Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.11and other approved time even if you can't meet your employer's normal vacation policies for requests and the use of that time.14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows12employer's normal vacation policies for requests and the use of that time.15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a 1517If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They 2017available to California employees who pay into the 1819Eave Disability Insurance program.19That program allows an employer to require up to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks 2121anguage be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for | | | | |
| 12Council adopt language demonstrating that a request for vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient.12employer's normal vacation policies for requests and the use of that time.14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a potential conflict here with California's paid family leave program which is a wage replacement benefit17If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for 2412PFL benefits after which point the employee can start receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of the employee's weekly wages.25have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't25If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | | , , , , |
| vacation does not in itself render notice insufficient. This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave. If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter that they don't use of that time. Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a potential conflict here with California's paid family leave program which is a wage replacement benefit available to California employees who pay into the California State Disability Insurance program. That program allows an employer to require up to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded PFL benefits after which point the employee can start receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of the employee's weekly wages. If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | , - | 1 | |
| 14This is kind of a dangerous area where we it allows14Regarding section 11092(b)(1), there is a15for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and15potential conflict here with California's paid family16have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave.16leave program which is a wage replacement benefit17If an employee for instance comes up and says I16leave program which is a wage replacement benefit18need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an18California State Disability Insurance program.19employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They19That program allows an employer to require up20didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that20to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks21language be included that clarify that whether an21of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded23qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for22PFL benefits after which point the employee can start23CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I24If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted25If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | 1 | |
| for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave. If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter that they don't for employees to basically say just the wrong thing and have their employees not provide them with CFRA Leave. potential conflict here with California's paid family leave program which is a wage replacement benefit available to California employees who pay into the California State Disability Insurance program. That program allows an employer to require up to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded PFL benefits after which point the employee can start receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of the employee's weekly wages. If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | 1 | |
| have their employers not provide them with CFRA Leave. If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't | | - | 1 | |
| If an employee for instance comes up and says I need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't If an employee symbol and says I available to California employees who pay into the California State Disability Insurance program. That program allows an employer to require up to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded PFL benefits after which point the employee can start receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of the employee's weekly wages. If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | | |
| need vacation. My mother's really ill. Then an employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't | | | | |
| 19 employer could say, well, they asked for vacation. They 20 didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that 21 language be included that clarify that whether an 22 employer asks for leave that would otherwise be 23 qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for 24 CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I 25 have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't 19 That program allows an employer to require up 20 to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks 21 of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded 22 PFL benefits after which point the employee can start 23 receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of 24 the employee's weekly wages. 25 If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | 17 | |
| didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that language be included that clarify that whether an employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't | | | 1 | , |
| 21 language be included that clarify that whether an 22 employer asks for leave that would otherwise be 23 qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for 24 CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I 25 have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't 21 of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded 22 PFL benefits after which point the employee can start 23 receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of 24 the employee's weekly wages. 25 If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | | | 1 | |
| employer asks for leave that would otherwise be qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't PFL benefits after which point the employee can start receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of the employee's weekly wages. If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | 20 | didn't ask for CFRA Leave. So we would encourage that | 20 | to two weeks that the employee take up to two weeks |
| qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | 21 | language be included that clarify that whether an | 21 | of paid but unused vacation leave prior to the receded |
| 24CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I24the employee's weekly wages.25have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't25If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | 22 | employer asks for leave that would otherwise be | 22 | PFL benefits after which point the employee can start |
| 25have vacation. Nor should it matter that they don't25If under the FMLA requirement or the adopted | 23 | qualifying for CFRA and provides an underlying leave for | 23 | receiving these benefits which are up to 55 percent of |
| | 24 | CFRA qualifying, it shouldn't matter if they say can I | 24 | the employee's weekly wages. |
| | 25 | | 25 | |
| | | Page 35 | | Page 37 |

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

| 1 | FMLA Regulations, an employer excuse me, an employee | 1 | If the Council, you know, chooses to include |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2 | can be forced to use vacation for the duration of their | 2 | this section, we would recommend adding language that's |
| 3 | leave, that could create an issue where they're not able | 3 | taken into account an employee's CFRA Leave for purposes |
| 4 | to use a Paid Family Leave or receiving over 100 percent | 4 | of determining performance with regard to attendance is |
| 5 | of their wages which is not permitted under the paid | 5 | impermissible. |
| 6 | family leave program. So we would recommend that this | 6 | We recommend that the Council reinstate the |
| 7 | not be adopted and that instead employers be encouraged | 7 | language it proposed to strike from 11092(f) again for |
| 8 | to integrate these programs. | 8 | purposes of clarity. |
| 9 | So for instance, they can allow an an | 9 | Regarding section 11093(c)(1) and (d), we |
| 10 | employer would be required to use up to 20 weeks of | 10 | recommend clarifying that there's an entitlement both to |
| 11 | vacation leave after which it could and might be | 11 | reasonable accommodation and to CFRA bonding. So for |
| 12 | encouraged to integrate so employee could use 55 percent | 12 | instance, if someone is out of Pregnancy Disability |
| 13 | of their paid family leave and get 45 percent of what | 13 | Leave, needs additional time as a reasonable |
| 14 | they would use for paid vacation and save the rest. | 14 | accommodation for disability after that because they're |
| 15 | Moving on to 11092(b)(4). We would encourage | 15 | separate entitlements after the conclusion of that |
| 16 | the Council to make a change to this language. Instead | 16 | accommodation, they're still entitled to the full |
| 17 | of saying "an employer and employee may negotiate the | 17 | 12 weeks of their bonding leave under the CFRA. |
| 18 | employee's use of any additional use of paid or unpaid | 18 | We appreciate the Council's clarifying language |
| 19 | time off" instead of or using to substitute for | 19 | regarding disability leave in section 11093(e). I'm |
| 20 | instead of that language, saying that they may use this | 20 | sorry, 11093(c)(1). And would suggest similar language |
| 21 | time concurrent negotiate to use this time concurrent | 21 | in 11093(e). We would suggest language clarifying that |
| 22 | with or in addition to the CFRA Leave. | 22 | an extension of CFRA Leave can be a reasonable |
| 23 | This was clarified that an employee who is | 23 | accommodation under the Fair Employment Housing Act. |
| 24 | entitled to CFRA Leave gets those protections even if | 24 | This is an issue that again we often see from |
| 25 | the there's other additional leave available to them | 25 | people that come to the Employment Law Center where they |
| | Page 38 | | Page 40 |
| | | | |
| 1 | through their employers or leave that they can leave | 1 | have exhausted their CFRA Leave and the employee knows |
| 2 | program that can be used concurrently that the employer | 2 | that they have a serious health condition that is also a |
| 3 | may have in their policies. They shouldn't be in a | 3 | qualifying disability and instead of engaging with them |
| 4 | position where they're substituting that unprotected | 4 | in any sort of interactive process regarding either how |
| 5 | leave for legally protected leave under the CFRA. | 5 | they can come back with accommodation or if they need an |
| 6 | We would recommend here that the Council also | 6 | extension of leave as an accommodation, they receive a |
| 7 | add a section again encouraging that employers | 7 | form letter from their employer saying your CFRA Leave |
| 8 | integrate first of all, tell employees about the | 8 | is up, if you don't come back on x date, it's job |
| 9 | existence of Paid Family Leave and State Disability | 9 | <unintelligible>.</unintelligible> |
| 10 | Insurance and encouraging that they integrate these | 10 | This is something employers routinely fail to |
| 11 | states benefits when when it's possible to do so. | 11 | consider when the end of CFRA Leave is approaching; that |
| 12 | Section 11092(c)(2), an employer's obligation | 12 | indeed they may still have a legal requirement to the |
| 13 | to continue benefits begins at time of leave. We would | 13 | employee under the Fair Employment Housing Act if that |
| 14 | recommend that the Council add language clarifying that | 14 | employee's serious health condition also constitutes a |
| 15 | an employer should continue health coverage both during | 15 | disability. |
| 16 | the duration of the Pregnancy Disability Leave law and | 16 | For sections 11094(a) and (b), we would again |
| 17 | during the 12 weeks of CFRA bonding so that, you know, | 17 | recommend reinstating the language that the Council |
| 18 | someone doesn't have their up to four months of PDL and | 18 | proposed to strike for purposes of clarity and also |
| 19 | then are cut off from their benefits and not able to | 19 | including language clarifying that an employee should be |
| 20 | take the bonding time that they're legally entitled to. | 20 | protected against retaliation even if she's not yet |
| 21 | Section 11092(e)(1), we would recommend not | 21 | eligible for CFRA Leave. This is reflected in the case |
| 22 | incorporating the FMLA regulation here, 825.215(c)(2), | 22 | law which is cited in our written comments, so we would |
| 23 | because this regulation would deny perfect attendance | 23 | recommend clarifying that in the regulations. |
| 24 | bonus to someone who is on a job-protected leave under | 24 | Regarding section 11095(a), we would object to |
| 25 | the CFRA. | 25 | the statement that electronic posting is sufficient. |
| | Page 39 | | Page 41 |
| | 1 450 57 | | 1 450 11 |

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

| 1 | However, we do see the value of electronic posting, | 1 | Thank you. |
|----------|---|----|---|
| 2 | especially where employees don't always report to some | 2 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: Thank you, |
| 3 | central location. So we would suggest requiring both | 3 | Ms. Langston. |
| 4 | hard copy posting and in situations where there's not | 4 | MS. BRODSKY: You may not know the answer to |
| 5 | that central reporting point for all employees, | 5 | this, but do you know whether under the state Paid Leave |
| 6 | electronic posting as well. | 6 | Act there's any notice requirements? |
| 7 | 11095(b), we would suggest clarifying when the | 7 | MS. LANGSTON: I believe there are some notice |
| 8 | obligation to give notice is triggered. For instance, | 8 | requirements. I would need to double check on the |
| 9 | when an employee mentions that she or her partner is | 9 | specifics of those. But you know, again, I think it |
| 10 | pregnant or when she asks about pregnancy leave, informs | 10 | could only be helpful to also include you'll notice |
| 11 | the employer that he or she is adopting or fostering a | 11 | requirements here where employers are you know, and I |
| 12 | child. All these should trigger the notice requirements | 12 | don't know. I think there may be some in the very |
| 13 | under these regulations. | 13 | beginning. But here where it's actually used to have |
| 14 | We would also suggest adding language that | 14 | that notice given, I think it would be very helpful. |
| 15 | requires employers to provide notice of the wage | 15 | MS. BRODSKY: Thank you. |
| 16 | replacement programs that are available concurrently | 16 | MS. LANGSTON: Sure. |
| 17 | with CFRA Leave and Pregnancy Disability Leave. | 17 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: Anyone else wishing to |
| 18 | Similarly or along those lines in section | 18 | speak on the California Family Rights Act Regulations? |
| 19 | 11095(c), when a an employee with limited English | 19 | MR. SCHUMANN: Good afternoon. My name is Jay |
| 20 | proficiency makes one of the statements outlined above | 20 | Schumann. I'm a private attorney and HR consultant. I |
| 21 | that she's pregnant, adopting a child, etc., the | 21 | have a couple short general statements, and then I have |
| 22 | employer should be required to provide that employee | 22 | a couple specific provisions I'd like to discuss. |
| 23 | notice in his or her own language of rights, | 23 | The general the first general statement is |
| 24 | responsibilities, and consequences and noncompliance. | 24 | I've worked internally as a Human Resources |
| 25 | Finally, Section 11097 regarding the | 25 | practitioner, and having the new regulation or the |
| | Page 42 | | Page 44 |
| 1 | certification form. Again, we would add recommend | 1 | proposed new regulation about the electronic notices I |
| 2 | adding language emphasizing that the underlying serious | 2 | think is a very good idea. Because in the environment |
| 3 | health condition may not be disclosed on this form | 3 | that I was at, people relied on their computers far more |
| 4 | without the consent of the patient and need not be | 4 | than they relied on paper notes. So I think that's |
| 5 | disclosed. | 5 | helpful. |
| 6 | And we would also recommend that if language | 6 | And the other general statement is I appreciate |
| 7 | stating that if an employee turns in this form to their | 7 | that in the proposed regulations the Council makes |
| 8 | employer, attempts to use it, that the employer must | 8 | reference to the possible need for reasonable |
| 9 | accept it and may not require the Department of Labor | 9 | |
| 10 | form or some other form that requires more information | 10 | accommodation under the disability laws. I think |
| 11 | | | having I know there's a reference to the leave laws |
| 12 | that is required under the CFRA form. In order to make this using this form | 11 | in the disability regulations. And now there'll be reference to the disabilities accommodation laws in the |
| 13 | simpler for employees and a wider and for employers, | 13 | leave regulations. I think it's very helpful to have it |
| 13 14 | | 14 | - |
| 14 15 | frankly, we would also strongly suggest that the Council include the form in a conspicuous place on the website | 15 | in both places like that. |
| 16 | so that it's easily accessible. Right now you'd have to | 16 | So I have a couple of very minor and very |
| 17 | find it in the regulations themselves. | | targeted comments. The first one by the way, I have submitted these in writing also. The first one is on |
| | - | 17 | - |
| 18 19 | So including that on the website I think would | 18 | page 11 and it's under section 11089(d)(2)(f), and this is the section that deals with key person severage or |
| 20 | be wonderful for employers. They would know what it | 19 | is the section that deals with key person coverage or |
| 20 | was. They could print it out instead of just going to | 20 | key employee coverage under CFRA. |
| 21 | the DOL's form, and that would help them to make sense | 21 | And there's a statement in there about the |
| | of that requirement and know what they're looking at | 22 | that the employee continues to be entitled to |
| 23 | when an employee uses that form. | 23 | maintenance of health benefits. I suggest it would be |
| 24 | So I appreciate your time and appreciate your | 24 | helpful to refer them to section 11092(c) at that point, |
| 25 | consideration of our comments. Page 43 | 25 | because the way it reads right now where it says if an |
| | Dage 12 | 1 | Page 45 |

| ection is 11087 subdivision (c). For |
|---|
| ease of reference, we would recommend |
| tion of "child" back into regulations |
| hat provision. We agree, however, |
| co parentis to its own subdivision |
| makes it easier to locate. |
| 11087 subdivision (d), the |
| ered employer." The proposed |
| he phrase "including successor in |
| efinition of the covered employer |
| phrase "successors in interest" to the |
| the definition overly broad, seemingly |
| basis, and would impose onerous |
| buyers and sellers of businesses in |
| |
| uage of this regulation remains as |
| sers of entities that have any |
| ees will now, by law, be assuming |
| ential CFRA claims, unless the |
| etains those liabilities. |
| sed phrase is also vague. It is |
| the proposed language permits the |
| o shift the potential liability or |
| may be possible for a seller to agree |
| Page 48 |
| buyer of the business, the proposed |
| aver of complexity that was not |
| regulations. Therefore, we recommend |
| cessors in interest" language. |
| rovision we had comments to, 11087 |
| , the definition of eligible employee. |
| at only employees who have a certain |
| contact working within California |
| |
| , just to provide reference. We |
| e be language added to this where there |
| tion that an employee must physically |
| California at least half of the time; |
| is this would clarify that only |
| ave certain minimal level of contact |
| alifornia are eligible for the benefits |
| FRA. |
| refore we propose including language |
| ily employees who perform work in |
| half of the time are eligible for |
| s is consistent with the jurisdictional |
| tions of the California laws. We have |
| |
| al authority in support of that |
| |
| al authority in support of that |
| |

| 1 | Our next proposal would be to section 11087 | 1 | commit fraud to obtain leave. |
|----------|--|----|--|
| 2 | subdivision (r)(1) related to inpatient care. Council | 2 | Section 11090 subdivision (e)(4) regarding |
| 3 | has removed reference to a, quote, overnight stay in | | deduction of exempt employee's pay. Just a general |
| 4 | , | | comment to this one. Given that wage and hour laws are |
| 5 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | outside the Council's jurisdiction but that this is an |
| 6 | 5, | | area where clarification is definitely needed within the |
| 7 | unclear how long a stay must be to qualify for inpatient | 7 | State of California, we would respectfully request that |
| 8 | care. | 8 | the Council solicit confirmation from the California |
| 9 | We would recommend keeping the reference to an | 9 | Division of Labor Standards Enforcement in the form of a |
| 10 | overnight stay to keep this definition clear and | 10 | formal opinion that California employers may follow the |
| 11 | consistent with the FMLA. If that's not acceptable to | 11 | FMLA regulation 29 CFRA 825.206 as to deductions from |
| 12 | the Council and you do not wish to do that, we would | 12 | exempt employees salaries for intermittent or reduced |
| 13 | strongly recommend that the Council further clarify then | 13 | scheduled leave. |
| 14 | what inpatient stay means. | 14 | The next section we had a comment on was |
| 15 | For example, you could state that inpatient | 15 | 11091(b)(2)(a) regarding second opinions. In this |
| 16 | care means a stay that the patient has been, for | 16 | proposed regulation, the language reads, "if the |
| 17 | example, admitted to a hospital, hospice, or residential | 17 | employer establishes a reason to doubt the validity of |
| 18 | care facility. The lack of a definition would make it | 18 | the certification the employee provides for his or her |
| 19 | very unclear for employers to interpret that language. | 19 | own serious health condition, the employer may require |
| 20 | Our next proposal would be regarding section | 20 | at the employer's own expense, the employee to obtain |
| 21 | 11088(c), the limitation of entitlement provision. | 21 | essentially a second opinion." |
| 22 | There was a proposed change of the term parents to | 22 | The proposed regulation adopts the term |
| 23 | spouses in the first sentence, which we see as a problem | 23 | "establishes" instead of the term "has". It used to be |
| 24 | in California because marital status is a protected | 24 | that if the employer simply has a reason to doubt. The |
| 25 | category under the FEHA. The current CFRA Regulations | 25 | addition of the term "establish" instead of the word |
| 2.5 | | 25 | |
| | Page 50 | | Page 52 |
| 1 | utilize the term parents to avoid different treatment of | 1 | "has" makes the new language somewhat unclear in terms |
| 2 | married and unmarried people for purposes of CFRA | 2 | of what the employer would have to do to establish or |
| 3 | bonding leave entitlement. So retaining the old | 3 | reason to doubt the validity of the certification. |
| 4 | language or using our proposed would help avoid this | 4 | So we would recommend retaining the term "has". |
| 5 | issue. | 5 | Without any state of justification, this change appears |
| 6 | Our proposed edit would be rather than saying | 6 | to be unnecessarily placing a heightened burden on |
| 7 | if spouses if it said current proposed language is | 7 | employers to establish a reason to doubt the validity of |
| 8 | if spouses employed by the same employer are entitled to | 8 | the certification to support a second opinion. |
| 9 | CFRA Leave, their employer may limit leave for the | 9 | The next section is 11091(b)(2)(e), regarding |
| 10 | birth. We propose deleting spouses and instead using | 10 | the return to work release. Here it's just a simple |
| 11 | the language if both parents are employed by the same | 11 | |
| 12 | employer and are entitled to CFRA Leave. So using, | 12 | the wrong place. |
| 13 | essentially, the term "parents" rather than "spouses". | 13 | So in our written comments we moved the term |
| 14 | The next provision would be 11089(d)(3) | 14 | "other policy" to later in a sentence there. So it |
| 15 | regarding fraudulently-obtained CFRA Leave. We believe | 15 | would be read the final sentence starting with "there is |
| 16 | this section should be included in the regulations, and | 16 | no collective bargaining," it reads now, "there is no |
| 17 | the Council has proposed language stating that an | 17 | collective bargaining, it reads now, there is no |
| 18 | employee is not entitled to, quote, job protections | 18 | forbidding the practice, and we are suggesting there is |
| 19 | afforded by the CFRA if they fraudulently obtained CFRA | 19 | no collective bargaining agreement or other policy |
| 20 | Leave. | 20 | forbidding the practice." |
| 20 | | 21 | |
| 21 | We would recommend a change to make it clear | 22 | With that change, however, nevertheless we |
| 22 | that an employee commits fraud to obtain CFRA Leave is | 23 | would say that the FMLA regulation on this same topic |
| | not entitled to any CFRA protection. It is not just | 23 | only addresses limits and collective bargaining |
| 24 25 | limited to CFRA's job protections. Benefits and any | | agreements and doesn't go further. So there is no |
| 20 | other CFRA protections are unavailable to employees who | 25 | explanation provided to justify expansion of the FMLA |
| | Page 51 | | Page 53 |

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

| 1 | | 1 | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | language. | 1 much. We are about halfway through our a | |
| 2 | Section 11091(b)(3) regarding providing | 2 | session. Now would be time for a short break, then |
| 3 | certification. There was a parenthetical providing an | 3 | we'll resume with the hearing on the CFRA Regulations. |
| 4 | example where in this sentence that talks about absent | 4 | We do have a number of people I know who wish to speak, |
| 5 | extenuating circumstances, e.g., unavailability of | 5 | and we are running into somewhat time constraints. So |
| 6 | health care provider, if the employee fails to timely | 6 | we will be back promptly at 2:40, in 12 minutes by my |
| 7 | return. | 7 | watch. |
| 8 | We just recommend removing the parenthetical | 8 | (Break taken.) |
| 9 | example, as the Council has expressed its intention to | 9 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: Back on the record for |
| 10 | follow the FMLA regulation on this topic. There's no | 10 | the California Family Rights Acts Regulations. Herein |
| 11 | such example within the FMLA Regulations. | 11 | the time is 2:45 p.m., and we will take further public |
| 12 | Our final section that we had comments to was | 12 | comment. |
| 13 | regarding the certification form itself as section | 13 | There will be an opportunity to make public |
| 14 | 11097. We have attached a proposed revised | 14 | comment on other topics once we conclude the hearing. |
| 15 | Certification of Health Care Provider form for your | 15 | MR. LEBOWITZ: Good afternoon. My name is Noah |
| 16 | consideration with our written comments that are being | 16 | Lebowitz. I am a partner with San Francisco Law Firm of |
| 17 | submitted by US mail and via e-mail to you to Director | 17 | Duckworth Peters Lebowitz Olivier. My capacity here is |
| 18 | Cheng today. We made proposed revisions to essentially | 18 | a member of the executive board with California |
| 19 | four areas of the certification form itself. | 19 | Employment Lawyers Association otherwise known as CELA. |
| 20 | One, to question two, essentially to add some | 20 | What we have submitted electronically prior to |
| 21 | questions regarding the patient's relationship to the | 21 | my arrival here today, and I have just handed you a hard |
| 22 | employee so that the employer can confirm that the | 22 | copy of, is CELA's official written comments. These are |
| 23 | person is a covered family member and also whether it is | 23 | to be considered in conjunction with the oral comments, |
| 24 | a minor or adult child for which the person is | 24 | verbal comments that were made by a couple of our |
| 25 | requesting leave. | 25 | members at the April 7th hearing as well. |
| | Page 54 | | Page 56 |
| 1 | We have proposed edits to question 4(b), which | 1 | And so CELA, as you know, is a statewide |
| 2 | we believe is insufficient on its own to provide | 2 | organization. We now have more than 1100 members. We |
| 3 | information regarding the duration of the employee's | 3 | are folks who are primarily in the business of |
| 4 | need for leave which is often different than the | 4 | representing employees in wrongful termination and |
| 5 | probable duration of the condition or the need for | 5 | employment matters of all types, and primarily engaged |
| 6 | treatment. So that's under clarification. | 6 | in the enforcement of the Fair Employment Housing Act in |
| 7 | We have proposed edits to question nine on the | 7 | general and inclusive of the California Family Rights |
| 8 | form, regarding obtaining sufficient medical | 8 | Act. So these regulations are of extraordinary |
| 9 | certification. | 9 | importance to our members and the folks they are |
| 10 | And then also to the definition of serious | 10 | helping. |
| 11 | health condition. We revised that to make it consistent | 11 | Before I get started with substance, let me |
| 12 | with the current FMLA Regulations and the definition in | 12 | as I was sitting here in the audience noticed a couple |
| 13 | the proposed amendments to section 11087(r) except that | 13 | of corrections, if we can, to page two of the comments |
| 14 | we kept the overnight stay language consistent with our | 14 | we have made. There's a typographical error in the |
| 14 15 | | 15 | second to last line. There's a capital "I" which is |
| 16 | other comments regarding overnight stay. And then | 16 | |
| | and believe that this comment would essentially ensure | | intended to be "is" and not capital "I". Do you see |
| 17 | the Council's stated purpose of following the most | 17 | that? That's the standard. |
| 18 | current federal regulations with respect to that | 18 | And then on page seven, footnote two, the |
| 19 | definition. | 19 | reference should be to section 11089 sub (2)(b). |
| 20 | So we thank the Council for the opportunity to | 20 | Capital B instead of section subsection (a). And for |
| 21 | comment and appreciate your time. If you want any | 21 | more information I'll submit a corrected version |
| 22 | further input from the Employer's Group or from CELC in | 22 | electronically so you have a full version for the |
| 23 | this process, we have provided contact information in | 23 | record. But I wanted to do that now before the five |
| 24 | our written materials. | 24 | o'clock deadline. |
| | | | |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: Great. Thank you very Page 55 | 25 | So the specifics of all of our detailed Page 57 |

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

| 1 | comments are contained in the letter. What I want to do | 1 | situation both sides of the potential dispute and both |
|----|---|-----|--|
| 2 | today with my comments is revisit one of my favorite | 2 | sides of the folks who are trying to figure out their |
| 3 | issues, which is the the issue of non-duplication | 3 | rights and obligation. |
| 4 | versus clarity. And then pointing out one or two | 4 | And as I said, the intended audience for these |
| 5 | sections for a couple highlights and not go through all | 5 | regulations really are not the lawyers who have Westlaw |
| 6 | the details. | 6 | or whatever at their fingertips and are able to slip |
| 7 | But I appreciate the comments made by the chair | 7 | back and forth between the statutes and regulations and |
| 8 | this morning or this afternoon, on there is this | 8 | the FMLA Regulations relatively easily. It's the folks |
| 9 | tension between non-duplication and clarity that exists | 9 | who don't necessarily have access and don't know where |
| 10 | within the Administrative Procedures Act, the APA. | 10 | to look for all this information. And the idea as |
| 11 | And what's important as I read both the statute | 11 | stated before, the content of a one-stop shop is really |
| 12 | and the regulations that implement the APA is that | 12 | what we believe is regulations. That should be the goal |
| 13 | | 13 | |
| | non-duplication is, as I read it, secondary to clarity. | | of these regulations. |
| 14 | And that clarity is of importance. It is of primary | 14 | And so there are by our count, no fewer than |
| 15 | importance, frankly. And especially because what we are | 15 | 12 instances within the proposed regulations where the |
| 16 | dealing with here is probably the most highly technical | 16 | Council has proposed to strike existing language |
| 17 | area of law within the Council's jurisdiction and one of | 17 | wholesale in favor of a cross reference back to the |
| 18 | the most highly technical areas of law within employment | 18 | statute. And there's frankly, we believe that all of |
| 19 | law in general in California. But certainly within the | 19 | those suggest those proposed amendments should be |
| 20 | Council's jurisdiction. This is the most technical | 20 | reversed and that language should be restored. And we |
| 21 | area, I would say. | 21 | do address each of those sections within the within |
| 22 | And so clarity is really, really important | 22 | the written comments. |
| 23 | because what these regulations are used for is almost | 23 | I'll stop here for a second and say this. You |
| 24 | the real users I believe are the Human Resources | 24 | all have done a remarkable job to this point, of course. |
| 25 | professional management side folks who use these | 25 | And there has been the hours of work that have been |
| | Page 58 | | Page 60 |
| | | | |
| 1 | regulations to create the personnel handbooks and the | 1 | put into these regulations is obvious. And I know you |
| 2 | internal policies that tell and help the employees | 2 | all don't get paid much for this job. So on behalf of |
| 3 | understand what their rights are and what their | 3 | our organization, I will say absolutely thank you for |
| 4 | obligations are as well for compliance. | 4 | all the time that you have put into it. |
| 5 | And then it also invests those same management | 5 | And just because we may have comments that say |
| 6 | side folks' understanding what their rights and | 6 | you should have done it a different way doesn't mean we |
| 7 | obligations are in complying. And of course, because | 7 | don't appreciate the work that you have put in to get |
| 8 | regulations the real goal of regulations is increase | 8 | these to this point. And we know there's a lot more to |
| 9 | of compliance. As we approach regulations and comments | 9 | go and you have got a time limit. So good luck. |
| 10 | on regulations from our organization is with that in | 10 | So anyway, there's let me point out one |
| 11 | mind, that the goal of regulatory enactment and reform | 11 | example of where I think the proposed striking of |
| 12 | in revision is increased compliance and reduced | 12 | language in favor for stated reasons of |
| 13 | litigation and increased resolution. | 13 | non-duplication has actually caused more confusion |
| 14 | And so when we look at the actual regulations | 14 | than than otherwise necessary. |
| 15 | that implement the APA, there's more than one place that | 15 | And that is in the definitions section, where |
| 16 | talks about the fact that non-duplication is a secondary | 16 | the proposal is to strike section 11087 sub (c), little |
| 17 | consideration to clarity. In fact, there's a negative | 17 | (c), which is the definition of child, I believe. The |
| 18 | presumption. | 18 | definition of child. And in favor because the stated |
| 19 | If the regulation presents information in a | 19 | reason is there is a portion of that language that is |
| 20 | format that is not readily understandable by persons | 20 | duplicative of the statute. But some of it is not. |
| 21 | directly affected by the regulation and a presumption | 21 | And so to make up for that, the Council has |
| 22 | that it is not clear and does not meet the clarity | 22 | been has had to make has had to create two new |
| 23 | standard and those folks who are directly affected, | 23 | sections. So 11087 sub (a) proposed, which is |
| 24 | | 24 | |
| 24 | there's the definition of regulations about those that | | definition of adult dependent child, and 11087 sub (k), |
| 25 | are directly affected. But it means abyiquely in our | 125 | which is the congrate definition of "in lass nerential" |
| 25 | are directly affected. But it means, obviously, in our Page 59 | 25 | which is the separate definition of "in loco parentis". Page 61 |

| 1 | The way we read the existing language of sub | 1 | The only thing a lot of this is new in these |
|----|--|----|--|
| 2 | (c), we believe that it is actually a better placement | 2 | sections, and we believe that it is very good language |
| 3 | | | and we appreciate it. And this is an area that is |
| 4 | | | obviously often litigated. |
| 5 | | | There is one concept that we found not |
| 6 | dependent child, are all in one place, where it is | 6 | necessarily addressed, kind of sideswiped, not directly |
| 7 | they're read in context in relation to one another, it | 7 | addressed, and we would like it directly addressed. |
| 8 | makes more sense. | 8 | It's a very simple concept that when someone has taken a |
| 9 | Simply for the purpose of avoiding duplication | 9 | CFRA protected leave that that if that employee is |
| 10 | of some statutory language these things are now split | 10 | judged or assessed at year end or on some annualized |
| 11 | out. You have to read two separate sections within the | 11 | basis or on some regular basis based on performance |
| 12 | regulations and then go back to the statute and read a | 12 | metrics, so for instance, you have a salesperson whose |
| 13 | third section to get all the information as presently | 13 | annual bonus or performance review is based largely if |
| 14 | constructed to get in one section. So that is one | 14 | not entirely on meeting a predetermined sales goal for |
| 15 | example of where we believe it's a little bit more form | 15 | the year based on the 12-month calendar, that employee |
| 16 | over substance as far as the non-duplication versus | 16 | takes a three-month leave of absence, CFRA protected |
| 17 | clarity. | 17 | leave of absence. |
| 18 | And then I want to talk about two other | 18 | They cannot and still complying with the law |
| 19 | sections that don't necessarily compact come from | 19 | they cannot be judged on that 100 percent of reaching |
| 20 | this general non-duplication versus clarity debate. And | 20 | that 100 percent metric. It needs to be prorated to |
| 21 | that is on page seven of my comments of our comments | 21 | 75 percent or whatever the appropriate ratio is. |
| 22 | on the two sections dealing with the employee. So the | 22 | And so to be able to say that they have met |
| 23 | language that we looked at in section 11087 sub (I) and | 23 | their metrics for the year it's only judged on the time |
| 24 | 11089 sub (d)(2). And those two sections, one the | 24 | they were actively at work and not on protected leave. |
| 25 | 11087 section including the definition and 11089 section | 25 | Because to do otherwise, to use that would be using that |
| | Page 62 | | Page 64 |
| | 1 450 02 | | 1 450 0 1 |
| 1 | being the more substantiative right description as we | 1 | protected leave against them. If you were to judge that |
| 2 | see it drawn out. There is it's somewhat awkward in | 2 | same employee on the 100th percent of the predetermined |
| 3 | that the there is definitional language in the | 3 | sales goal, they would have only nine months to reach |
| 4 | substantiative section as opposed to being in the | 4 | that goal, whereas an employee who did not exercise |
| 5 | definitional section. | 5 | their rights would have a full 12 months to reach that |
| 6 | So sub (d)(2) contains the second clause of sub | 6 | goal. |
| 7 | (d)(2) the new suggested language that Council has | 7 | That's a concept that we find not directly |
| 8 | proposed in $(d)(2)$ is essentially definition. And if | 8 | addressed in the regulations, and we found a place that |
| 9 | you take that, cut it and paste it into the definitional | 9 | we think in the section 11094 sub (b) that it ought to |
| 10 | section, we believe it reads better and is clearer. | 10 | be placed, and we have some suggested language for that. |
| 11 | And instead what you are left with under the | 11 | And with that, I will leave the rest to the |
| 12 | substantive section is a much more streamlined | 12 | written comments, unless you have any questions I'm more |
| 13 | description of what the substantiative right is as far | 13 | than happy to try to address. |
| 14 | as the affirmative defense goes. And how that and it | 14 | CHAIRPERSON MANDELBAUM: Thank you, |
| 15 | makes it clearer for those who are attempting to analyze | 15 | Mr. Lebowitz. |
| 16 | whether the affirmative defense applies, whether or not | 16 | Other comments on the California and Family |
| 17 | it does apply. | 17 | Rights Act and Regulations? |
| 18 | So that's one I just wanted to highlight, | 18 | Going once. Going twice. |
| 19 | because it might be kind of confusing. It was difficult | 19 | So hearing no further comments requested, this |
| 20 | to write it out and describe it in one document, so I | 20 | concludes our public comment portion of the hearing. So |
| 21 | wanted to explain that a little better. | 21 | thank you for taking time to provide public comment |
| 22 | And then finally, the one section one other | 22 | regarding the issuance of the composed amendments for |
| 23 | section I want to draw your attention to, is 11094 sub | 23 | the California Family Rights Act Regulations. We will |
| 24 | (b) as in boy. Retaliation and protection from | 24 | accept written comments on the proposed amendments until |
| 25 | interference section. | 25 | 5:00 p.m. today, June 2nd, 2014. |
| 20 | | | |
| | Page 63 | | Page 65 |

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

| 1 | Also, anyone who testifies here today or |
|--|---|
| 2 | submits written comments will receive a copy of any |
| 3 | changes or amendments that Council makes to its proposed |
| 4 | amendments to the CFRA Regulations. |
| 5 | Anyone who testifies or submits written |
| 6 | comments will have a 15-day period in which to make |
| 7 | written comments to changes that are made to the |
| 8 | proposed CFRA Regulations. |
| 9 | Council will consider each comment made on |
| 10 | April 7, 2014, and here today as well as all written |
| 11 | comments received. Council will respond to each comment |
| 12 | in writing in its final statement of reasons which will |
| 13 | become a part of the Council's rulemaking record. |
| 14 | So again, thank you for everyone today who |
| 15 | provided testimony. The hearing part of this meeting is |
| 16 | now adjourned. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | (TIME NOTED: 3:00 p.m.) |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 22 | |
| 22 | |
| | |
| 24 25 | |
| 20 | |
| | Page 66 |
| | |
| 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION |
| 1 2 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION |
| 1 2 3 | |
| 2 3 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified |
| 2 3 4 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: |
| 2 3 4 5 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at |
| 2 3 4 5 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 7th day of June, 2014. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | I, TAMMY MOON, CSR No. 13184, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of California that the foregoing is true and correct. |

Α ability 26:6 able 7:20 12:16 38:3 39:19 60:6 64:22 absence 32:17 64:16,17 absent 54:4 absolutely 61:3 accept 6:9 43:9 65:24 acceptable 50:11 acceptance 20:20 access 60:9 accessible 43:16 accommodate 32:19 accommodated 32:16 accommodation 19:19,24 19:25 32:21.25 40:11.14 40:16,23 41:5,6 45:9,12 account 40:3 accounting 27:2 30:13 accrue 17:24 accrued 16:5,13 accurate 29:25 acknowledges 10:12 ACLU 23:7 act 1:12 4:19 9:15 11:8 19:23 23:25 24:9 26:14 26:15 40:23 41:13 44:6 44:18 57:6,8 58:10 65:17 65.23 action 4:21 9:5 67:16 actively 64:24 Acts 56:10 actual 47:20 59:14 add 18:8 32:8 34:13 39:7 39:14 43:1 46:7 48:10 54:20 added 11:17,25 12:4,7,13 12:18:24 14:8:13 17:11 18:18 19:1 20:6,12 26:5 49.11 53.11 adding 19:17 32:9 34:22 40:2 42:14 43:2 48:12 addition 21:7 32:4,8,12 38:22 49:2 52:25 additional 28:12 38:18,25 40:13 address 20:15 60:21 65:13 addressed 10:2 13:5 64:6,7 64:7 65:8 addresses 53:23 adds 49:2 adjourned 66.16 administrative 9:3 13:18 22:13 35:1 58:10 admitted 50:17 adopt 9:23 31:8 35:12 adopted 1:11 37:25 38:7 adopting 8:22 18:2 27:21 28:1 42:11,21 adoption 5:3 33:22 adopts 52:22 adult 54:24 61:24 62:5 advance 8:22 Advocates 23:9 affiliations 8:5 affirmative 63.14.16 affirmatively 14:25 15:2 afforded 51:19 afternoon 22:24 44:19 46:23 56:1,15 58:8

ago 5:24 agree 48:5,24,25 agreement 53:17,19 agreements 53:24 aid 10:14 11:19 23:1 aided 9:24 aircraft 13:9 allow 34:15 36:12 38:9 allowable 14:3 allowed 36:9 37:10 allowing 33:1 34:18 allows 35:14 37:19 ambiguity 16:23 amended 15:5 26:17 amendment 9:17 amendments 1:12 4:18 5:9 6:7,23 7:3,21 9:21 23:4 23:13 55:13 60:19 65:22 65:24 66:3,4 amount 37.2 analyze 63:15 ANDREW 3:5 Annmarie 6:16 annual 64:13 annualized 64:10 answer 44:4 anyway 61:10 **APA** 10:15 58:10,12 59:15 appear 5:7 appears 53:5,11 applies 63:16 apply 11:16 18:1 24:8 28:18 32:5 34:24 63:17 appointments 31:15,18 34:5,6 36:15 appreciate 6:18 24:3 34:23 40:18 43:24,24 45:6 46:19 55:21 58:7 61:7 64.3 appreciated 31:21 approach 59:9 approached 10:16 approaching 41:11 appropriate 4:22 64:21 approved 37:10,11 April 6:6 7:5 56:25 66:10 area 24:23 27:12,20 35:14 52:6 58:17,21 64:3 areas 24:14 54:19 58:18 arranging 25:10,19 arrival 56:21 asked 35:19 asks 35:22 42:10 aspects 36:18 assessed 64:10 assignment 18:13 20:20 assignments 29:2 assisted 25.22 assisting 25:7 Association 56:19 assuming 48:19 ATKINSON-BAKER 1:19 attached 54:14 attempting 63:15 attempts 43:8 attendance 7:19 39:23 40:4 attention 20:16 63:23 attorney 22:25 44:20 67:15 audience 57:12 60:4 auditorium 1:5 2:5 4:6

authority 9:7,8 49:23 available 5:10,12,16,20 23:23,24 37:17 38:25 42.16Avenue 1:6 2:6,13 4:7 avoid 35:7 51:1,4 avoiding 62:9 aware 14:11 awkward 63:2 A8065A7 1:25 B **b** 12:13 41:16 57:19,20 63:24 65:9 baby 12:6 back 4:3 5:10 13:18 17:14 28:18 32:23 34:1 36:14 41:5,8 48:4 56:6,9 60:7 60:17 62:12 Backing 49:10 bargaining 53:16,17,19,23 based 30:4 31:2 32:16 64:11,13,15 basically 35:15 basis 10:17 48:14 64:11,11 beginning 44:13 begins 16:11 39:13 behalf 23:5.7 47:3 61:2 believe 31:4 33:9 44:7 51:15 55:2,16 58:24 60:12,18 61:17 62:2,15 63:10 64:2 benefit 37:16 benefits 16:19,21 17:8,16 18:13,20,22 32:9 37:22 37:23 39:11,13,19 45:23 46:4,11,12,16 49:16 51:24 better 10:3 62:2 63:10,21 Billotti 6:16 binder 5:11,16 birth 5:1 12:5 19:14 51:10 **bit** 27:3 62:15 board 56:18 bond 26.19 **bonding** 5:2 12:6 28:12,16 28:19 29:21 34:15 39:17 39:20 40:11,17 51:3 bonus 39:24 64:13 **bov** 63:24 break 11:11,14 18:9,10 27:24 28:2 56:2,8 **brief** 8:13 broad 48:13 broader 23:19,21 25:12 34.23 Brodsky 3:5 4:12 8:13,24 14:7 44:4.15 brought 6:15,17 burden 13:3 31:11,19 34:7 53:6 burdens 34:2 bureaucracy 25:25 business 10:25 15:15 17:1,2 49:1 57:3 businesses 48:15 buyer 49:1 buyers 48:15

C **c** 3:1 48:2 61:16,17 62:2 CA 4·1 calculation 33:18 calendar 15:23,25 64:15 California 1:5,6,12 2:5,6,12 2:14 4:6,8,18 5:7,24 6:14 9:15 11:7 14:3 17:1.2 22:8 23:8,8,25 24:8 26:14,24 27:2,8 29:6 37:17.18 44:18 46:25 47:4 48:16,19 49:8,13,16 49:20,22 50:24 52:7,8,10 56:10,18 57:7 58:19 65:16,23 67:18 Californians 23.24 31.20 34.7 California's 17:3 36:10 37.15 called 14:24 callers 28:23 calling 25:24 capacity 56:17 capital 57:15,16,20 care 5:4 6:13 25:6,7,10,14 25:20 26:19 31:14 34:2,6 50:2.8.16.18 54:6.15 caregivers 25:5,23 31:17 34:3 caregiver's 36:16 case 14:24 16:22 20:22 21:4 41:21 47:22 cases 35:8 case-by-case 10:16 category 50:25 cause 18:9 caused 11:14 36:8 61:13 caution 24:1 caveat 19:13 ceases 32:14,16 CELA 56:19 57:1 CELA's 56:22 CELC 47:6 55:22 Center 23:1,6,8,9 25:4 28:23 40:25 central 26:4.15 42:3.5 certain 12:20 31:10 37:2 49:7,15 certainly 58:19 certification 15:19,21,24 16:1 33:13 35:11 36:8 43.1 52.18 53.3 8 54.3 54:13,15,19 55:9 67:1 certifications 31:15 certified 7:10 67:3 certify 67:4,14 CFR 27:22 33:22 CFRA 4:16,24 5:1,9 6:8 7:3 7:22 8:21 9:19 10:4 11:2 11:7,21 12:14,25 13:1,3 13:6,13 14:1,12,15,22 15:3,7 16:2,5,14,17,18 16:22 17:11 17 22 23 18:3,5,8,16,20 19:2,3,9 19:10,16 20:7,10,18,21 20:22,23 21:2,3,14,18 22:9 23:15,18 26:9 27:25 28:2,11,14 29:10,16,17 29:21 30:19 31:2 32:19 33:8,15 34:19 35:9,16,20

35:23,24 36:3,6 37:8 38:22,24 39:5,17,25 40:3 40:11.17.22 41:1.7.11.21 42:17 43:11 45:20 48:1 48:20 49:17,21 50:25 51:2,9,12,15,19,19,22,23 51:25 52:11 56:3 64:9,16 66:4.8 CFRA's 51:24 CFRA-qualifying 16:12 chair 22:14 58:7 **Chairperson** 3:4 4:3,10 9:2 22:22 44:2,17 46:18,21 55:25 56:9 65:14 challenges 47:9 Chanee 3:4 4:12 change 15:16,20 18:22 22:16 38:16 50:22 51:21 53:5,21 changed 32:1 47:22 changes 6:22 7:2,21 8:16 9:9 18:19 22:17 31:22 32:19 66:3,7 charged 17:13 Chaya 3:4 4:9 check 44:8 Cheng 3:6 4:15 6:13 54:18 child 5:2,3,5 12:5 19:14 25:16 26:11,12 42:12,21 48:4 54:24 61:17,18,24 62.56 children 26:20,20 child's 28:20 chooses 28:13,18 40:1 chose 22:15 circuit 14:23 20:23 circumstances 12:20 14:2 15:9 16:16 54:5 cited 41:22 49:23 claims 30:3 48:20 clarification 10:24 12:21 46:7 49:12 52:6 55:6 clarifications 10:22 11:4,17 12:13.18 clarified 9:17 11:10 13:11 15:20 16:2,20 28:9 38:23 clarifies 4:21 12:1 21:11 32:5 clarify 13:15 15:6 16:3 17:10 27:10 28:10 29:11 29:14 35:21 36:18 49:7 49:14 50:13 clarifying 9:24 10:25 11:6 25:3 30:2 32:14 33:3 34:17,21,22 39:14 40:10 40:18,21 41:19,23 42:7 47.23clarity 9:9 10:12,14,19 24:12,16,25 32:2 35:4 40:8 41:18 58:4,9,13,14 58:22 59:17,22 62:17,20 clause 12:12 63:6 clear 13:24 21:21 50:10 51.21 59.22 clearer 63:10,15 clearly 7:16 Clinic 23:10 close 9:22 code 4:24 5:8 10:11 11:7 14:3 20:9 22:9

ATKINSON BAKER, INC.

Page 1 1-800-288-3376

colleague 14:5 **collective** 53:16,17,19,23 come 8:1 32:23 40:25 41:5 41:8 62:19 comes 25:25 35:17 comfort 25:14 commencement 14:17 commencing 2:14 comment 4:17 7:5,7 22:23 46:8,10 47:10,13 52:4,14 55:16,21 56:12,14 65:20 65:21 66:9,11 commentary 47:14 commenting 8:5 comments 6:9,11,15,18,22 7:1.2.6.12 9:1 23:3.7.13 24:17,19,20 41:22 43:25 45:16 46:17 47:3 49:5,24 53:13 54:12,16 55:15 56:22.23.24 57:13 58:1.2 58:7 59:9 60:22 61:5 62:21.21 65:12.16.19.24 66:2,6,7,11 Commission 1:5 2:5,13 4:6 commit 52:1 commits 51:22 common 33:15 communication 25:21 community 47:5 compact 62:19 companies 25:24 comparable 21:19 compared 17:23 18:4,6 19:11 complex 27:11,15,18 28:22 47.1complexity 49:2 **compliance** 59:4,9,12 comply 36:1 complying 47:9 59:7 64:18 component 26:15 composed 65:22 computation 33:20 computers 45:3 concept 64:5,8 65:7 concepts 62:5 concern 23:21 27:14 36:24 concerning 14:16 concerns 23:16 24:20,25 conciseness 24:4 conclude 56:14 concludes 29:22 46:17 65:20 conclusion 40:15 concurrent 38:21,21 concurrently 29:16 39:2 42.16condition 5:4,6 15:22 16:6 26:1,8 29:18 34:3 41:2 41:14 43:3 52:19 55:5,11 conditions 18:4 32:9 confirm 54:22 confirmation 52:8 conflict 37:1,15 conflicts 36:10 conform 16:15 17:17 20:14 conforms 4:22 15:17 17:15 18:24 confusing 47:18 63:19 confusion 34:9 61:13

conjunction 56:23 connected 11:22 connecting 11:18 consent 15:8 43:4 consequences 30:25 42:24 48:15 consider 7:4 34:4 41:11 66:9 consideration 43:25 54:16 59:17 considered 56:23 considering 9:13 consistency 9:11,13 46:14 48.3consistent 9:24 14:23 16:3 22:18 49:21 50:11 55:11 55.14conspicuous 21:4,6 43:15 constitute 11:3 13:13,21 18.8 20.21 25.3 constitutes 18:10 34:9 41:14 constitution 22:10 constraints 56:5 constructed 62:14 consultant 44:20 contact 36:9 49:8,15 55:23 contained 10:23 58:1 contains 5:12 63:6 contemplates 27:14 content 60:11 contents 13:6 context 13:12 62:7 contextualized 62:3 continue 13:11 39:13,15 continues 45:22 46:3 continuing 31:6 contributions 17:19 Copies 5:9 copy 5:12 6:15,17,19,22 7:21 42:4 56:22 66:2 corporate 29:7 corporation 23:17 correct 67:12.19 corrected 57:21 corrections 57:13 costs 33:6 Council 1:1 2:1 4:10,12,20 6:11,13,23 7:4,6 8:20 14:6 21:25 22:14 23:2 24:1,15,23 26:22 27:7 28:10.24 29:11.23 31:7 31:19 33:22 34:13 35:12 36:18 38:16 39:6,14 40:1 40:6 41:17 43:14 45:7 47:4,14 50:2,12,13 51:17 52:8 54:9 55:20 60:16 61:21 63:7 66:3.9.11 Councilmember 3:4,5,5,6 8:13 Councilmembers 3:3 4:12 Council's 5:14,19,20,21 7:9 7:13 8:18 40:18 47:7 50:4 52:5 55:17 58:17,20 66:13 count 16:17 27:3,23 60:14 counted 11:12 counterpart 9:21 counting 26:23 29:8 counts 29:20

couple 10:21 44:21,22 45:15 56:24 57:12 58:5 course 59:7 60:24 court 1:20 7:11 8:2 9:12 12:11 14:25 31:23 Court's 12:9 26:3 cover 47:2 49:25 coverage 17:18.19 39:15 45:19,20 46:11,12,16 covered 11:17,18,22 26:21 27:9 28:2,3 48:9,11 54:23 crafted 9:10 create 29:25 30:17 38:3 59:1 61:22 created 32:21 creates 18:14 creating 30:19 critical 7:16 cross 60.17 CSR 1:24 2:15 67:3,24 current 47:16,21 50:25 51:7 55:12,18 currently 23:24 28:1 currently-existing 24:2 **cut** 19:23 39:19 63:9 D **d** 40:9 48:8 62:24 63:6,7,8 Dale 3:5 4:12 dangerous 35:14 date 6:4 14:17 22:6,15 41:8 Dated 67:20 day 13:8 34:14 37:3 67:20 days 5:24 15:15,17,17,23 15:25 17:7,12 31:10,10 36:13 37:7 deadline 57:24 deal 31:13.15 dealing 25:24 58:16 62:22 deals 45:19 dealt 27:13 33:9 debate 62:20 December 8:20 decision 26.4 decisions 9:12 31:23 declare 67:17 decline 15:1,3 declining 20:23 deduction 52:3 deductions 52:11 deemed 17:12 defense 63:14.16 definitely 52:6 definition 10:23 11:5,6,8,9 11:25 12:4,8 25:2,12,13 25:19 26:11,12,13,16 47:13.15 48:4.9.11.13.13 49:6 50:5,10,18 55:10,12 55:19 59:24 61:17,18,24 61:25 62:25 63:8 definitional 63:3,5,9 definitions 12:7 47:12 61:15 62:4 deleting 49:4 51:10 deliberated 8:21 deliberations 8:19 demonstrating 35:12 denial 30:14 denies 30:10

deny 39:23 46:2 denying 32:22,22 **Department** 4:14 22:3 36:18 43:9 dependent 61:24 62:6 describe 63:20 described 14:9 description 62:3 63:1.13 designate 15:6 detail 24:19 detailed 57:25 details 58:6 determine 14:14 determining 11:13 30:19 40.4DFEH 3:6 7:4 diagnosis 36:21 differ 37:6 different 51:1 55:4 61:6 **difficult** 24:11 25:8 31:12 34:4 63:19 direct 24:10 directly 10:18 59:21,23,25 64:6,7 65:7 Director 3:6 4:14 54:17 disabilities 45:12 disability 16:22 17:3 18:5 19:2,4,7,8,16,19,22 20:1 21:14,17 23:9 28:5,8,14 28:17 29:13,15,21 37:18 39:9,16 40:12,14,19 41:3 41:15 42:17 45:9,11 disclosed 43:3.5 disclosure 36:20 discouraged 10:15 discrimination 20:1 47:1 discuss 44:22 discusses 29:9 dispute 60:1 disrupt 33:25 distinguish 21:16 district 12:11 Division 52:9 doctor's 34:5 document 63:20 doing 10:14 DOL's 43:21 domestic 25:17 31:25 32:1 door 34:9 double 44:8 doubt 33:12 52:17,24 53:3 53:7 **DRAFT** 1:12 draw 20:16 63:23 drawn 63:2 drive 6:13 20:4 Duckworth 56:17 due 28.2 duplicating 10:13,20 duplication 62:9 duplicative 61:20 duration 14:17 38:2 39:16 55:3,5 duties 35:7 duty 20:20 36:13 dying 25:16 D3 10:24 Е e 3:1,1 49:6 52:2

eager 22:20 earlier 27:17 early 12:22 earning 29:10 ease 48:3 easier 48:7 easily 9:10 43:16 60:8 economic 33:3 edit 51:6 53:11 edits 55:1,7 effective 22:1 47:17 effectuate 9:7 effort 33:24 either 27:16 41:4 elected 9:23,25 electronic 21:5 41:25 42:1 42:6 45:1 electronically 56:20 57:22 eligibility 27:22 28:7 29:10 30:4,19,23 eligible 11:5 31:4,5 41:21 49:6,16,20 Elk 6:14 emphasizing 43:2 employed 51:8,11 employee 11:5,10,13,19,25 12:14,21,23,25 14:10,11 14:14,15,22,25 15:2,7,21 15:25 16:4,8,11,13,16 17:5,6,11,13,19,24 18:9 18:15,20,21,23 19:8 20:17 25:1 27:22 30:3,6 30:10,12,22 31:2,9 33:19 33:23 34:2,14,15 35:2,17 36:2,14 37:20,22 38:1,12 38:17.23 41:1,13,19 42:9 42:19,22 43:7,23 45:20 45:22 46:1,3 49:6,12 51:18,22 52:18,20 54:6 54:22 62:22 64:9,15 65:2 65:4 67:15 employees 11:23 12:3,20 13:18 14:1 17:20 18:16 20:18 21:2,13 26:23 29:8 30:18,21 32:6 35:15 37:17 39:8 42:2,5 43:13 48:19 49:7,15,19 51:25 52:12 57:4 59:2 employee's 5:3,4,5 14:9,20 15:8,16 16:18 18:3 20:10 26:6 32:16 36:10 37:24 38:18 40:3 41:14 52:3 55.3 employer 10:24 11:12,18 11:20 12:22 14:8,11,13 14:19 15:12,13,20,24 16:4,12 17:8,14,14 18:7 18:18,19,21 19:15 20:9 26:7,21 27:9 29:3 30:3,5 30:10,20 31:1,3 32:6,15 32:18,24 33:11,17 34:15 34:18,22 35:6,19,22 36:2 36:9,12,14,19,20 37:7,19 38:1.10.17 39:2.15 41:7 42:11,22 43:8,8 47:5 48:9,11 51:8,9,12 52:17 52:19,24 53:2 54:22 employers 11:1,3,3 12:3 13.25 15.6 17.1 20.17 21:1,21 26:25 27:12,12

ATKINSON BAKER, INC.

Page 2 1-800-288-3376

fixed 28:25

27:23 30:12 34:11 35:16 36:25 38:7 39:1,7 41:10 42:15 43:13.19 44:11 50:19 52:10 53:7 employer's 13:3 15:23 16:7 16:20 17:17 19:17 29:25 30:17 33:25 36:5 37:12 39:12 46:2 47:3.6 52:20 55.22 employment 1:1 2:1 4:10 4:11,14,20 23:1,6,10 25:4 26:6 28:23 40:23,25 41:13 47:4 56:19 57:5.6 58.18 enables 9:8 enactment 59:11 encourage 28:10 32:8 34:7 35:4,11,20 36:17 38:15 encouraged 8:19 38:7,12 encouraging 39:7,10 enforcement 52:9 57:6 engaged 57:5 engaging 41:3 English 42:19 ensure 55:16 ensures 5:1 entail 19:5 entire 13.13 entirely 64:14 entities 10:25 11:1 48:18 entitled 12:25 17:24 38:24 39:20 40:16 45:22 46:3 51:8.12.18.23 entitlement 16:18 32:3 40:10 50:21 51:3 entitlements 40:15 environment 45:2 Equal 12:12 23:9 equality 31:23 equivalent 12:17 error 57:14 Escriba 14:24 20:22 especially 16:25 42:2 58:15 essentially 51:13 52:21 54:18,20 55:16 63:8 establish 26:7 52:25 53:2,7 establishes 52:17.23 establishing 18:11 event 15:15 16:12 Ex 3:6 4:13 exam 36:13 examination 67:10 example 20:20 28:24 29:1,5 34:13 50:15,17 54:4,9,11 61:11 62:15 examples 13:8 exception 11:15 12:19,23 13.2 18.14 exclusively 17:2 excuse 19:17 38:1 executive 56:18 exempt 14:1 52:3,12 exemptions 13:25 exercise 65:4 exercising 9:19 exhausted 41:1 existed 15:5 existence 39:9 existing 4:23 9:12 60:16 62.1

exists 58:9 expanding 25:2 expansion 53:25 expected 14:17 expense 52:20 experienced 47:8 expired 17:7 explain 18:2 26:5 63:21 explaining 26:23 explanation 53:25 expressed 54:9 expression 12:6 expressly 48:21 extend 16.9 extends 16:21 extension 40:22 41:6 extensive 11:10 extent 17:25 18:23 22:2,5,7 47:23,24 extenuating 54:5 extraordinary 57:8 e-mail 5:25 6:10 54:17 e.g 54:5 F Facebook 6:2 facilities 25:23 facility 50.18 fact 10:15 11:1 30:21 32:24 59:16,17 fail 41.10 fails 17:6 54:6 Fair 1:1 2:1 4:10,11,14,19 11:8 40:23 41:13 57:6 family 1:12 4:19 5:3 9:15 23:25 24:8 25:5 26:14 31:16 34:3 37:15 38:4.6 38:13 39:9 44:18 54:23 56:10 57:7 65:16,23 far 29:3 31:22 45:3 47:9 62:16 63:13 Farms 14.24 favor 60:17 61:12,18 favorite 58:2 February 5:25 federal 4:23 22:1 23:19,22 47:16 55:18 feel 26:12,16 33:13 FEHA 19:9,18 20:12 50:25 fehccouncil@dfeh.ca.gov 6:11 felt 10:3 fewer 60:14 figure 60:2 **FILE** 1:25 FIMRA 33:16 final 7:7 10:5,11 53:15 54.12.66.12 finally 10:6 11:17 13:24 21:23 25:18 30:24 42:25 63:22 financially 67:15 find 24:11 43:17 65:7 fingertips 60:6 firm 47:2 56:16 first 17:12 23:14 24:22 28:20 36:19 39:8 44:23 45:16,17 50:23 fitness 36:13 five 15:15,17 37:7 57:23

flight 13:19 floor 22:23 FMLA 9:21 13:16 14:21 15:1,9,17,18 16:4,15 17:3,15,17 18:2,24 20:14 22:4,7,16 23:14,18,20,21 27:13.17.21 28:6.7 29:12 29:16,19 31:8 33:4 36:8 37:1,5,8,25 38:1 39:22 47:22 50:6.11 52:11 53:22,25 54:10,11 55:12 60:8 folks 47:15 57:3,9 58:25 59:6,23 60:2,8 follow 52:10 54:10 following 25:19 28:13,16 29:24 36:5 55:17 footnote 57:18 forbidding 53:18,20 force 21:8,10 forced 38:2 forceful 13:16 forcing 35:7 foregoing 67:5,12,18 form 36:19,22 41:7 43:1,3 43:7,10,10,11,12,15,21 43:23 52:9 54:13,15,19 55:8 62:15 formal 5:22 52:10 format 59:20 former 26:10 forth 4:24 60:7 67:6 forwarded 49:25 foster 5:3 14:24 fostering 42:11 found 64:5 65:8 four 39:18 54:19 Francisco 1:6 2:6,14 4:1,7 23:1 56:16 Franklin 3:4 4:13 frankly 34:10 43:14 58:15 60:18 fraud 51:22 52:1 fraudulently 13:4 51:19 fraudulently-obtained 33:8 51:15 fraudulently<sic 12:25 front 5:12 6:16 8:1 full 8:18,18 27:18 40:16 57:22 65:5 further 7:2 14:14 27:4 50:13 53:24 55:22 56:11 65:19 67:14 G Gate 23:10 general 18:14 19:14 23:12 44:21,23,23 45:6 47:12 52:3 57:7 58:19 62:20 generally 17:5,23 20:14 geographic 32:10,12 give 6:16 18:21 35:6 37:7 42:8 given 24:25 27:15,18 44:14 52:4 go 24:5,9,13,17 27:3 28:18 31:18 53:24 58:5 61:9 62.12 goal 59:8,11 60:12 64:14

65:3.4.6 goes 31:2 34:1 36:14 63:14 going 14:5 25:25 43:20 65:18,18 Golden 23:10 good 22:24 44:19 45:2 46:23 56:15 61:9 64:2 government 4:24 20:8.9 22.8 granted 31:4 Great 55:25 greater 31:19 grievous 33:3 group 17:18,19 47:3.6 55:22 Grove 6:14 guidance 24:8 guideposts 9:4 Н half 37:3 49:13,20 halfway 56:1 handbooks 59:1 handed 56:21 hands 8:25 happen 27:2 happened 9:14 happens 28:25 happy 65:13 hard 13:10 42:4 56:21 harmony 9:11 heading 19:1,4 headquarters 29:7 health 5:4,6 16:6,19,21 17:8,9,15,18,25 18:4,19 26:1,4,8 29:18 31:14 34:2,3 39:15 41:2,14 43:3 45:23 46:4,11,11,14 46:15,16 52:19 54:6,15 55.11 healthcare 31:9 hear 8:9,12 22:21 25:4 28:22,22 heard 47:13 hearing 1:2,11 2:2 4:16,17 5:13,22,23 7:10,11,15 56:3,14,25 65:19,20 66:15 heightened 53:6 held 14.25 help 10:18 43:21 51:4 59:2 helpful 16:25 44:10,14 45:5 45:13,24 helping 57:10 highest 12:3 highlight 8:15 24:20 63:18 highlights 58:5 highly 58:16.18 holding 5:21 Hollingsworth 12:10 home 20:4 25:9 29:2,4,6 homes 25:22 hospice 50:17 hospital 50:17 hour 37:3 52:4 hours 11:7 28:12 30:1,4,7 30:13,14 32:21 60:25 Housing 1:1 2:1 4:10,12,15 4:20 40:23 41:13 57:6 HR 44:20

Human 24:6 44:24 58:24 I idea 26:18 27:15 45:2 60:10 62:5 identify 8:6 ill 25:16 35:18 illegal 20:9 illness 25.9 immediately 28:16 impact 19:23 impermissible 40:5 implement 58:12 59:15 implementing 15:10 importance 57:9 58:14,15 important 23:4 24:10,16 26:13,16,25 27:4,6,9 28:17 58:11,22 impose 48:14 impossibility 13:21 impossible 13:7,12,17 25:9 34:25 include 12:8 26:16,18,18 27:7,25 28:24 29:17,24 33:5 36:21 40:1 43:15 44.10included 25:20 35:21 51:16 includes 11:5 12:6 25:15 including 12:16 25:6,19 33:4,16 41:19 43:18 48:10 49:18 62:25 inclusive 57:7 inconsistent 22:8 47:25 50.6 inconvenience 33:6 incorporate 10:9 25:12 31:8 incorporated 22:5 47:19,24 incorporates 21:25 incorporating 23:22 27:17 39:22 incorporation 23:14 increase 59:8 increased 59:12,13 incremental 13:6.14 increments 34:20 indemnify 49:1 indicated 7:23 individuals 6:1,3 24:7 26:19 28:1 induce 20:17 industrial 14:4 inform 21:12 information 14:16,18 31:3 43:10 55:3.23 57:21 59:19 60:10 62:13 informs 42:10 initial 5:14 injury 33:3,5 inpatient 50:2,7,14,15 input 55:22 inquire 14:14 inside 28:2 instance 29:3,5 33:10 34:13 35:17 37:2 38:9 40:12 42:8 64:12 instances 9:23 60:15 insufficient 35:13 55:2 insurance 17:9,15,21 25:24 37:18 39:10 46:14,15

ATKINSON BAKER, INC.

Page 3 1-800-288-3376

intact 12.10 integrate 38:8,12 39:8,10 **integrated** 11:3 27:12 intend 8:25 intended 57:16 60:4 intent 46:2 47:7 intention 34:23 54:9 interactive 20:1 41:4 interest 48:11,12 49:4 interested 67:16 interfere 20:10 interference 20:7 63:25 interfering 9:18 interim 9.16 intermittent 14:1 33:21,24 37:1 52:12 internal 59:2 internally 44:24 interpret 22:4 50:19 interpreting 4:24 interviewing 25:23 invests 59:5 invoke 12:23 13:1 involve 25:21 Irvine 6:6 issuance 4:18 65:22 issue 27:19 28:3,22 38:3 40.24 51.5 58.3 issues 34:1 58:3 J Jay 44:19 job 13:1 18:12 41:8 51:18 51:24 60:24 61:2 job-protected 39:24 joining 4:11 joint 11:1,3 27:12 judge 65:1 judged 64:10,19,23 juggle 31:17 June 1:7 2:7,15 4:1,5 6:10 6:20 65:25 67:20 jurisdiction 52:5 58:17,20 jurisdictional 49:21 justification 33:1 53:5 justify 53:25 K k 61:24 Kausen 6:13 keep 50:10 keeping 50:9 kept 30:5 55:14 key 11:25 12:20,23 45:19 45:20 kind 24:7 35:14 63:19 64:6

kinds 18:1,16

known 56:19

labeling 10:20

knows 41:1

1 62:23

know 7:19 23:18 32:23 35:1

44:4,5,9,11,12 45:10

56:4 57:1 60:9 61:1.8

L

Labor 11:7.8 14:3 22:4

36:19 43:9 52:9

35:5 39:17 40:1 43:19,22

lack 50.18 Langston 22:24,25 44:3,7 44:16 language 9:23 10:3,9,18 21:9 24:2,14,24 25:11 26:5,22 27:4,7,17 28:6 32:4,8 33:4,16 34:17,22 35:12.21 38:16.20 39:14 40:2,7,18,20,21 41:17,19 42:14,23 43:2,6 47:20,23 48:17.23 49:4,11,18 50:19 51:4,7,11,17 52:16 53:1,11 54:1 55:14 60:16 60:20 61:12,19 62:1,10 62:23 63:3,7 64:2 65:10 large 11:22 largely 64:13 Laura 46:24 law 6:6 19:22 22:10,13 23:1 23:6,8,11 25:4 28:23 39:16 40:25 41:22 47:4 48:19 56:16 58:17,18,19 64:18 67:18 laws 36:11 45:9,10,12 49:22 52:4 lawyers 56:19 60:5 layer 49:2 layoff 18:12 laypersons 24:6 leave 5:1,17 12:15 13:6 14:1,2,12,15,16,23 15:1 15:3,7,7,12,14 16:2,5,5,8 16:9,12,15,16,17,17,18 16:22,22 17:4,6,6,11,23 17:24 18:1,3,5,8,10,16 18:17,20,23 19:2,2,3,4 19:16,22 20:21,22,24 21:3,14,14,17,18 26:9 28:5,6,8,12,14,16,17,19 29:10,13,15,16,21,22 30:11,15 31:2 32:19,25 33:8,15 34:15,19 35:8,9 35:10,16,20,22,23 36:2 36:23 37:5,8,9,16,21 38:3,4,6,11,13,22,24,25 39:1,1,5,5,9,13,16,24 40:3,13,17,19,22 41:1,6 41:7,11,21 42:10,17,17 44:5 45:10,13 46:1 49:21 51:3,9,9,12,15,20,22 52:1,13 54:25 55:4 64:9 64:16.17.24 65:1.11 leaves 12:20 17:23 18:5,6 leaving 29:21 Lebowitz 56:15,16,17 65:15 left 12:10 63:11 legal 10:25 22:25 23:9 41:12 49:23 legally 39:5,20 length 8:21 27:13 letter 41:7 58:1 level 49:8,15 LGBT 26:19 liabilities 48:21 liability 48:20,24 life 28:20 light 12:9 20:20 limit 23:23 27:25 51:9 61:9 limitation 32:3,5 50:21

limited 42:19 51:24 limits 27:22 53:23 line 57:15 lines 42:18 LinkedIn 6:2 litigated 64:4 litigation 47:1 59:13 little 13:16 61:16 62:15 63:21 lives 31:17 living 25:22 locate 48:7 located 4:5,7 location 32:10.12 42:3 loco 26:18 48:6 61:25 62:5 long 21:5 46:5 50:7 longer 28:3 32:20 longevity 18:11 Lonicki 26:4 look 9:22 19:7,20 59:14 60:10 looked 62:23 looking 43:22 lose 18:9,15,17 lot 9:14 26:25 61:8 64:1 luck 61:9 lunch 4:4 Μ Maechtlen 46:23,24 mail 6:12 54:17 maintain 17:15,18 29:25 30:17 maintaining 17:9 maintenance 45:23 46:4 making 23:12 46:6 management 58:25 59:5 Mandelbaum 3:4 4:3,9 9:2 22:14.22 44:2.17 46:18 46:21 55:25 56:9 65:14 March 22:2 47:17 marital 50:24 marriage 12:9 31:23,25 married 51:2 materials 55.24 matter 35:24,25 matters 57:5 mean 61:6 meaning 9:9 10:13 means 14:19 15:24 18:15 50:14.16 59:25 meant 30:22 media 6.2 medical 15:22 25:21 36:7 55:8 meet 30:3 31:12 37:11 59:22 meeting 1:2 2:2 29:12 30:13 64:14 66:15 meetings 8:20 member 3:6 4:14 31:16 46:25 54:23 56:18 members 4:11 25:5 47:5 56.25 57.2.9 mentioned 20:22 mentions 42:9 met 11:13 30:9 64:22 method 33:17 metric 64:20 metrics 64:12,23

midflight 13:11 military 11:15 mind 59:11 mindful 9:14 minimal 49:15 minimally 20:15 minimum 49:8 minor 3:4 4:13 33:5 45:15 54:24 minutes 56:6 misinformation 31:1 modified 15:13 21:24 modify 21:24 Monday 2:14 4:1.5 months 11:13 39:18 65:3,5 Moon 1:24 2:15 67:3,24 moral 31:2 morning 58:8 mother 28:11,18 mother's 19:16 35:18 moved 53:13 moving 25:9 26:2,10 27:11 36:7 38:15 48:6 multiple 10:25 11:1 Ν N 3:1 name 4:9 8:4 22:24 44:19 46:23 56:15 narrow 12:19 14:2 nature 27:18 37:1 necessarily 26:7 36:1 60:9 62:19 64:6 necessary 10:1 14:14,16 34:6 61:14 Necessity 9:6 need 9:6 11:12 24:3,7 25:5 27:23 32:20 35:18 41:5 43.4 44.8 45.8 55.4 5 needed 33.14 52.6 needs 14:12 28:9 40:13 64:20 negative 59:17 negotiate 38:17,21 Ness 1:6 2:6,13 4:7 nevertheless 53:21 new 20:13 25:9 28:11,18 29:7 31:14 32:20,21 34:10,11 44:25 45:1 53:1 53:11 61:22 63:7 64:1 newer 18:22 nine 55:7 65:3 ninth 14:23 20:23 Noah 56:15 noncompliance 42:24 non-duplication 10:6 58:3 58:9,13 59:16 61:13 62:16.20 non-English 21:8 Non-Pregnancy 19:3 **normal** 37:12 NOTED 66:18 notes 45:4 67:13 noteworthy 8:16 10:21 notice 5:13,24 6:5 14:8,9 14:10,20 15:4 18:18,21 21:1,2,3,7,12,15,17,20 21:21 33:17 35:13 37:6,7 37:9 42:8,12,15,23 44:6 44:7.10.14

noticed 5:23 57:12 notices 45:1 notification 46:2 noting 25:15 27:8 notion 10:7 number 8:6 10:22 11:4 12:18 25:5 30:18 31:10 56.4 numerous 9:20 nursing 25:22 0 oath 67:7 object 41:24 objections 67:9 obligation 11:15 16:20 17:18 18:18 19:18 29:25 30:17 39:12 42:8 60:3 obligations 11:21 14:9,20 19:6,10,18 33:25 59:4,7 obtain 14:16 15:19 31:14 33:11 51:22 52:1,20 obtained 13:4 51:19 obtaining 55:8 obvious 61:1 obviously 8:11 29:17 59:25 64.4occasions 34:16,19 offered 12:21 18:6 **Office 22:13** official 7:13 56:22 Officio 3:6 4:13 old 51:3 Olivier 56:17 once 29:19 36:13 56:14 65:18 onerous 48:14 one's 20:24 one-stop 24:7 60:11 one-year 29:13 open 22:22 opens 34:8 opinion 33:12 52:10,21 53.8 opinions 52:15 opportunity 8:10 12:22 13:22 23:3 55:20 56:13 oppose 27:21 34:8 opposed 63:4 oral 56:23 order 26:3 31:14,18 43:12 orders 14:4 organization 57:2 59:10 61:3 ought 65:9 outdated 20:14 outlined 42:20 outside 26:24 27:2 52:5 overall 47:6 overduplicating 10:19 overly 10:14 48:13 overnight 50:3,5,10 55:14 55.15 oversimplify 27:15 overtime 32:14,15 34:10 o'clock 57:24 Р

ATKINSON BAKER, INC.

Page 4 1-800-288-3376

P 3:1,1

page 5:20 45:18 46:8 57:13 57:18 62:21 paid 12:3 16:3,5,9,13,16 17:14 18:6,10 36:23 37:8 37:10,15,21 38:4,5,13,14 38:18 39:9 44:5 61:2 paper 45:4 parallel 19:11 parent 5:5 25:16 parenthetical 54:3,8 parentis 26:18 48:6 61:25 62:5 parents 50:22 51:1,11,13 part 5:22 7:8,13 13:10,25 14:2 25:6 66:13,15 participate 17:25 participation 25:1,3 particular 9:14 12:2 22:15 26:13 27:19 36:22 parties 48:24 67:15 partner 25:17 31:24,25 32:1 42:9 46:24 56:16 partners 12:8 26:20 party 25:10,20 paste 63:9 patient 43:4 50:16 patient's 54:21 Patricia 3:6 4:13 pay 17:9,20 32:8 37:17 52:3 PDL 21:13 39:18 PEL 19:15 penalty 67:17 people 8:25 40:25 45:3 51:2 56:4 percent 12:3 21:9 37:23 38:4,12,13 64:19,20,21 65:2 Perez 3:6 4:13 perfect 39:23 perform 26:6 49:13,19 performance 40:4 64:11,13 period 7:1 28:19 33:18 66.6 periods 33:15 perjury 67:17 permissible 16:7 permit 21:4 permits 33:11 48:23 permitted 38:5 Perry 12:10,11 person 7:17 20:10 45:19 54:23,24 personnel 24:6 59:1 persons 59:20 pertinent 14:18 Peters 56:17 PFL 37.22 phrase 28:6 31:24 48:10,12 48:22 Phyllis 3:6 4:15 6:13 physical 13:21 physically 13:7,12,17 34:25 49.12pick 13:10 14:6 place 21:6 26:23 31:19 43:15 47:19 53:12 59:15 62:6 65:8 67:6 placed 34:2 65:10 placement 5:2 62:2

places 9:25 24:12 34:11 45.14placing 34:7 53:6 plan 18:22 plans 17:25 18:19 please 5:17 6:16 7:18,23 8:4.6 plus 19:15 podium 5:17 point 20:4 27:25 32:15 37:22 42:5 45:24 46:6 60:24 61:8,10 pointed 22:14 46:13 pointing 58:4 policies 16:7 36:1,25 37:12 39:3 59:2 policy 53:14,17,19 portion 16:14 61:19 65:20 position 12:16 21:18,20 32.23 35.2 39.4 possible 35:7 39:11 45:8 48:25 post 21:2,22 posted 21:4,8,12 posting 21:1,5 41:25 42:1,4 42:6 potential 25:23 37:15 48:20 48:24 60:1 potentially 25:22 Poultry 14:24 practicable 15:14 practical 11:20 practice 53:18.20 practices 36:5 practitioner 44:25 preceded 23:18 precluded 34:18 predetermined 64:14 65:2 prefer 6:12 pregnancy 16:22 17:3 19:2 19:11,16,22 21:14,17 28:5,8,13,16 29:13,15,18 29:20 39:16 40:12 42:10 42:17 pregnant 28:7 42:10,21 premiums 17:9,14,21 PRESENT 3:3 presentation 8:14 presently 62:13 presents 59:19 presumption 30:8,20 59:18 59:21 pretty 13:10 previously 49:3 primarily 57:3,5 primary 8:11 58:14 print 43:20 prior 19:14 27:23 37:21 56:20 privacy 36:11 private 44:20 probable 55:5 probably 58:16 problem 50:23 problems 36:9,14 **procedures** 9:4 58:10 proceedings 1:10 2:12 67:5 process 5:22 8:14 9:3 20:1 41.4 55.23 professional 58:25

proficiency 42:20 program 37:16,18,19 38:6 39:2 programs 38:8 42:16 prohibited 10:15 prohibition 9:18 promotion 18:12 promptly 56:6 promulgated 22:3,18 prong 9:13 10:11 prongs 10:5 proposal 18:25 47:20 50:1 50:20 61:16 propose 19:13 27:16 49:11 49:18 51:10 proposed 1:11 4:19 5:9,13 5:19 6:7.23 7:2.21 8:22 15:2 16:15 17:10,16 18:2 20:19 21:24 23:13 24:15 24:24 27:8,14 33:10 40:7 41:18 45:1,7 46:9 48:9 48:18,22,23 49:1 50:4,22 51:4,6,7,17 52:16,22 54:14,18 55:1,7,13 60:15 60:16,19 61:11,23 63:8 65:24 66:3,8 propounded 67:9 prorated 64:20 prospective 20:18 protected 36:6 39:5 41:20 50:24 64:9,16,24 65:1 protection 12:12 20:7 51:23 63:24 protections 13:1 23:19,20 23:23 31:5 38:24 49:22 51:18.24.25 **provide** 6:19 13:3 14:10 15:21,25 16:21 19:15,19 22:23 30:12 33:17 35:16 37:9 42:15,22 47:10,23 49:10 55:2 65:21 provided 15:9 23:19 49:17 53:25 55:23 66:15 provider 31:9 36:10 54:6 54:15 providers 25:21 31:14 provides 9:4 35:23 52:18 providing 27:22 54:2,3 provision 12:24 20:12 48:5 49.5 50.21 51.14 provisions 10:9 11:23 12:2 19:8,20 20:2,5 44:22 psychological 25:14 public 1:5,11 2:5,13 4:6,17 5:23 9:1 22:23 23:3 56:11.13 65:20.21 published 5:25 purchasers 48:18 purpose 4:17 8:11 9:7 10:8 26:8 55:17 62:9 purposes 5:2 10:2 11:6 13:13 18:6,11 24:12,16 29:7 30:18,23 32:1 40:3 40:8 41:18 51:2 Pursuant 6:5 put 61:1,4,7 67:7 puts 31:11 putting 25:13 48:4 **p.m** 2:14 4:1,4 6:10,19 56:11 65:25 66:18

0 qualified 29:12 qualifies 29:19 qualify 16:17 50:7 qualifying 35:23,24 36:4 41:3 question 54:20 55:1,7 questions 54:21 65:12 67:9 quote 47:21 50:3 51:18 R r 3:1 50:2 Rachel 22:25 radius 29:8 30:21 ratio 64:21 reach 28:7 49:22 65:3,5 reaching 64:19 read 53:15 58:11,13 62:1,7 62:11.12 readily 59:20 reads 45:25 52:16 53:16 63.10 real 58:24 59:8 really 19:11 20:5 22:20 24:16 26:12 34:24 35:5 35:11,18 46:10 58:22,22 60:5,11 reason 12:5 27:1 30:14 31:4 32:22,25 36:3 46:13 49:14 52:17,24 53:3,7 61:19 reasonable 19:19,23,25 33:23 40:11.13.22 45:8 reasons 5:15 7:8 16:6 61:12 66:12 recall 18:12 receded 37:21 receive 4:17 6:22 41:6 66:2 received 7:6,12 66:11 receives 29:2 31:3 receiving 15:16 37:23 38:4 recipient 25:7,8,10 recognize 14:22 recognized 29:18 recognizes 14:21 recommend 25:2,13,18,20 26:3,10,17 28:5 29:23 30:2,11 31:7 32:11,13 33:3,7,16 34:17 38:6 39:6,14,21 40:2,6,10 41:17,23 43:1,6 48:3 49:3 50:9.13 51:21 53:4 54.8 recommendation 49:24 recommended 30:16,24 reconsider 33:22 record 4:4 7:9,14 56:9 57:23 66:13 recorded 67:10 records 30:1,6,6,17 record-keeping 34:11 recover 17:8 reduce 19:24 reduced 52:12 59:12 redundant 33:14 refer 8.7 45.24 46.16 reference 10:5 12:1,5 21:25 22:5 45:8,10,12 46:6 48:3 49:10 50:3,5,9

57:19 60:17 referred 46:11 referring 46:5,5 47:16,21 refers 46:15 reflect 26:3 30:6 31:22 reflected 41:21 reform 59:11 reg 30:11 regard 23:13 40:4 regarding 4:18 17:17 25:1 26:21 27:12,20 28:4,21 29:9,24 30:16 31:6,21 33:8 35:10 36:7,23 37:14 40:9,19 41:4,24 42:25 50:20 51:15 52:2,15 53:9 54:2,13,21 55:3,8,15 65.22 regardless 36:4,5 regards 23:14 Register 5:25 registered 31:25 regs 17:17,17 22:7 35:4 46.9regular 64:11 regulate 9:21 regulation 8:6,7 9:6,16 10:7 15:2,5,13,18 20:25 21:11,23 28:1 29:14 34:24 39:22,23 44:25 45:1 48:17 52:11,16,22 53.22 54.10 59.19 21 regulations 1:12 4:19,23,23 5:7.8.10.14.19 6:8.24 7:3 7:22 8:21,22 9:9,12,22 10:6,10 15:10 17:10 19:9 20:13.13.15.19.22:1.3.6 22:12,16,19 23:4,15,15 23:20,21,22 24:3,5,9,11 24:18 27:13.18.21 31:8 33:4,10 36:8 38:1 41:23 42:13 43:17 44:18 45:7 45:11,13 47:8,9,16,18,21 48:4,10 49:3 50:6,25 51:16 54:11 55:12.18 56:3,10 57:8 58:12,23 59:1,8,8,9,10,14,24 60:5 60:7,8,12,13,15 61:1 62:12 65:8,17,23 66:4,8 regulatory 5:24 59:11 reinstate 24:24 26:22 27:5 32.24 40.6 reinstated 24:15 26:11 reinstatement 12:14,19 21:16,18,19 32:22 33:1 46:3 reinstating 41:17 reiterate 20:4,24 related 19:4 50:2 relation 62:7 relationship 19:1,3 28:4 54.21relative 67:14 relatively 60:8 release 53.10 relevant 4:22 relied 45:3,4 remainder 29:11,15,20 remains 48:17 remarkable 60:24

ATKINSON BAKER, INC.

Page 5 1-800-288-3376

reminder 19:4

remove 50:5 removed 50:3 removing 54:8 render 35:13 repeating 24:4 replace 20:14 replacement 37:16 42:16 report 42:2 **REPORTED** 1:24 reporter 7:11 8:2 67:4 **REPORTERS** 1:20 REPORTER'S 67:1 reporting 42:5 reports 29:2,6 representing 57:4 regualify 28:11.15 request 15:12,14,16,23 21:3 35:10,12 36:2 52:7 requested 65:19 requesting 14:15,22 33:18 54:25 requests 16:12 37:12 require 15:21 16:4,13 21:16 31:9 35:8 36:12,25 37:2,19 43:9 52:19 required 17:20 19:15 21:1 21:21 22:13 30:12 34:9 38.10 42.22 43.11 requirement 11:7,14 12:19 13:2 28:8 29:13 30:9,14 30:22 34:11 37:25 41:12 43:22 requirements 11:2 30:4 37:6 42:12 44:6,8,11 requires 21:17,19 33:23 34:10 42:15 43:10 requiring 17:18 42:3 residential 50:17 resolution 59.13 resolved 16:24 **Resources** 24:6 44:24 58:24 respect 11:22 15:4,11 16:19 17:16,22 18:25 55.18 respectfully 52:7 respond 7:7 15:14 66:11 response 15:12 46:1 responsibilities 42:24 rest 38:14 65:11 restored 60:20 restraint 9:18 resume 56:3 retaining 51:3 53:4 retains 48:21 retaliation 20:7,15 41:20 63.24retires 17:11,13 retroactively 15:6 return 12:15,16,22 13:2,7 17:6 28:13 32:7 46:1 53:10 54:7 returned 15:7 17:12 returning 17:7 reversed 60:20 review 64:13 revised 15:4 54:14 55:11 revision 50:4 59:12 revisions 54:18 revisit 22:17 58:2

right 12:14 19:23,25,25 21:3,13 23:9 28:15 29:17 43:16 45:25 63:1.13 rights 1:12 4:19 5:1 9:15,19 12:15 19:5,7,10 20:8,11 20:18,21,24 23:9,10,25 24:8 26:14,19 32:7 42:23 44:18 56:10 57:7 59:3.6 60:3 65:5,17,23 room 5:10,12 6:17 8:2 rounds 9:20 routinely 41:10 **rule** 18:14 19:14 28:18 rulemaking 4:21 5:22 7:9 7:13 9:3,5 66:13 rules 12:2 36:1 ruling 12:9 run 29:15 running 56:5 S S 3:1 salaries 13:25 52:12 sales 64:14 65:3 salesperson 64:12 same-sex 12:8 San 1:6 2:6,13 4:1,7 23:1 56.16 save 38:14 saving 38:17,20 41:7 51:6 says 20:17 32:20 35:17 45:25 scenario 13:5 schedule 33:21,24 34:5 scheduled 52:13 schedules 31:13,16 36:16 scheduling 34:1 **SCHNEIDERMAN 3:5** School 6.6 23.11 Schumann 44:19,20 46:19 46:20 Schwarzenegger 12:11

second 31:10 33:12 52:15 52:21 53:8 57:15 60:23 63.6 secondary 58:13 59:16 section 4:25 8:6 10:23 20:3 22:9 24:22 25:14,18 26:2 26:10,17,21 27:14,16,20 28:4.21 29:9.24.24 30:16 30:24 31:6,21,22 32:3,7 32:13 33:2,7,8,10,20 34:8,12,21 35:10 36:17 36:23 37:14 39:7,12,21 40:2,9,19 41:24 42:18,25 45:18,19,24 46:6,9,10,12 47:11,12 48:2,8 50:1,4 50:20 51:16 52:2.14 53:9 54:2,12,13 55:13 57:19 57:20 61:15,16 62:13,14 62:23,25,25 63:4,5,10,12 63:22,23,25 65:9 sections 5:8 24:18 41:16 58:5 60:21 61:23 62:11 62:19,22,24 64:2 see 8:25 31:9 40:24 42:1 50:23 57:16 63:2 seemingly 48:13 seller 48:21,25

sellers 48:15

semantic 46:10 send 7:20 sending 47:2 seniority 17:24 18:9,12,15 seniority-related 18:13 sense 33:14 43:21 48:7 62:8 sent 5:25 sentence 50:23 53:14.15 54.4separate 19:5,9 20:5 40:15 47:2 49:25 61:25 62:11 separately 19:21 September 8:19 serious 5:4,6 15:22 16:6 18:4 26:1,8 29:18 34:3 41:2,14 43:2 52:19 55:10 seriously 25:16 serve 10:7 service 11:11,12,14,15 18:9 18:11 27:24 28:3 session 56:2 set 4:24 67:6 setting 36:15 seven 11:11 27:24 31:10 57.18 62.21 seven-year 28:2 Seyfarth 46:24 Shaw 46.24 sheet 7:19,24 shift 13:10,13 32:9,14,14 32:15,20 48:24 shop 24:7 60:11 short 44:21 56:2 shorthand 67:4,13 show 8:24 30:5,20 showing 15:22 30:8 sick 16:5,9 side 58:25 59:6 sides 60:1.2 sideswiped 64:6 sign 7:18,19 significant 8:16 47:8 sign-in 7:23 silent 23:16.16 similar 9:23 15:17 26:6 27:25 40:20 similarly 15:1 27:6 30:10 30:16 42:18 simple 53:10 64:8 simpler 43:13 simply 32:4 47:21 52:24 62:9 sitting 57:12 situation 60:1 situations 42:4 47:18 six 9:4 slip 60:6 snapshot 8:18 social 6:2 Society 23:1 solicit 52:8 solidify 35:5 Solutions 46:25 somewhat 10:12 53:1 56:5 63:2 soon 15:14 sorry 40:20 49:9 sort 8:18 10:16,19 11:20 26.15 41.4 Southern 23:7

46:22 56:4 speaking 7:16 21:8 specific 4:22 8:6 22:14,15 24:17 44:22 46:8 specifics 44.9 57.25 specify 24:13 specifying 22:15 49:19 spelling 8:4 spending 25:15 spirit 47:7 split 62:10 spoken 21:9 spouse 5:5 12:8 25:16 spouses 50:23 51:7,8,10,13 staff 7:4 22:25 stakeholders 6:1.3 standard 18:3 57:17 59:23 Standards 11:8 52:9 start 8.13 23.12 37.22 started 9:2 57:11 starting 14:7 53:15 starts 16:19 state 4:23 21:15 22:10 27:8 29:3 30:11 36:3 37:18 39:9 44:5 50:15 52:7 53.5 stated 55:17 60:11 61:12 61:18 statement 5:14 7:8 18:19 41:25 44:23 45:6,21 66.12 statements 42:20 44:21 67:9 states 21:24 39:11 statewide 57:1 stating 8:4 33:5 43:7 51:17 status 50:24 statute 9:7.17.25 10:6.8.13 10:18 19:20 24:5 58:11 60:18 61:20 62:12 statutes 9:12 12:5 19:5 24:10 60:7 statutory 9:8 10:9.20 12:1 20:5 48:14 62:10 stay 50:3,5,7,10,14,16 55:14,15 stenographically 67:11 stop 60:23 stopped 17:20 streamline 10:3 streamlined 63:12 strict 27:7 36:11 strike 24:15,24 27:8 40:7 41:18 60:16 61:16 striking 24:2 27:16 28:5 33:7 48:5 61:11 strongly 43:14 50:13 struggle 36:15 sub 57:19 61:16,23,24 62:1 62:23.24 63:6.6.23 65:9 subdivision 48:2,6,8 49:6 50:2 52:2 subject 11:2,24 18:22 submit 24:18 57:21 submits 6:21,25 66:2,5 submitted 23:6 45:17 54:17 56:20 subsection 57:20 subsequently 47:22

speak 7:17 8:8 9:25 44:18

substance 57:11 62:16 substantial 33:2 substantially 12:17 substantiative 11:23 63:1,4 63.13 substantive 63:12 substitute 38:19 substituting 39:4 successor 48:10 successors 48:12 49:4 sufficiency 33:13 sufficient 14:11 41:25 55:8 suggest 28:24 29:11,14 30:25 31:1 32:4 34:12,21 35:5 36:24 40:20,21 42:3 42:7,14 43:14 45:23 60:19 suggested 25:11 63:7 65:10 suggesting 53:18 suggestion 31:24 Suite 6:14 summarize 47:11 summary 22:20 support 47:7 49:23 53:8 Supreme 12:9 26:3 sure 7:23 22:17 44:16 Sutter 26:4 sworn 7:25 т T 36:6 table 5:17

tailored 10:4 take 8:2 15:1 16:8 20:23 21:13 28:19 37:20 39:20 56:11 63:9 taken 2:12 12:20 28:14 29:22 40:3 56:8 64:8 67.513 takes 28:16 64:16 talk 8:23 62:18 talks 46:13 54:4 59:16 Tammy 1:24 2:15 67:3,24 targeted 45:16 tasks 13:17,19 25:8 35:1 technical 58:16,18,20 tell 39:8 59:2 temporarily 35:2 ten 12:3 15:17 21:9 tends 12:23 27:15 tension 10:12 58:9 term 50:22 51:1,13 52:22 52:23,25 53:4,13 termination 57:4 terms 10:17 11:20 12:7 53:1 testified 47:15 testifies 6:21.25 66:1.5 testify 5:11,17 7:22 8:1,10 8:12 testimony 6:5,7 8:3,9 66:15 67:8 text 5:13,19 thank 9:1 44:1,2,15 46:18 46:20 55:20,25 61:3 65:14,21 66:14 thanking 23:2 thing 35:15 64:1 things 13:20 25:6 34:4

ATKINSON BAKER, INC.

Page 6 1-800-288-3376

36:25 62:10

| think 13:15 16:25 24:9,14 | understood 9:10 | 33:21 37:20,20 38:10 | 11087(b)(1)(a) 24:25 | 13184 1:24 2:15 67:3,24 |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 24:16 26:24 27:4,6,9 | unforeseen 37:9 | 39:17 40:17 | 11087(b)(2) 26:2 | 15 15:23,25 |
| 28:9,17 43:18 44:9,12,14 | unintelligible 41:9 | welcome 4:3,16 | 11087(c) 26:11 | 15-day 7:1 66:6 |
| 45:2,4,9,13 46:5,14 | University 23:11 | Westlaw 60:5 | 11087(d) 11:18 26:21 | 1995 9:16 27:17 |
| 61:11 65:9 | unmarried 32:6 51:2 | we'll 22:22 56:3 | 11087(d)(3) 27:11 | |
| third 25:10,19 62:13 | unnecessarily 10:8,20 53:6 | wholesale 60:17 | 11087(e)(2) 27:20 | 2 |
| thought 8:14 9:24 10:1,17 | unpaid 16:8,14 17:22 18:3 | wide 25:6 | 11087(e)(4) 28:21 | 2 1:7 2:7,15 4:1 57:19 |
| 11:19 | 18:4,5,10 38:18 | wider 43:13 | 11087(e)(5) 29:9 | 62:24 63:6,7,8 |
| three 19:11 62:4 | unprotected 39:4 | wish 22:23 50:12 56:4 | 11087(l) 12:1 | 2nd 4:5 6:10,20 65:25 |
| three-month 64:16 | unused 37:21 | wishes 34:14 | 11087(p) 12:4 | 2:40 56:6 |
| time 4:4 7:17 15:19 16:9,14 | update 9:15 | wishing 8:9,17 44:17 | 11087(r) 55:13 | 2:45 56:11 |
| 25:15 28:19 37:11,13 | updated 23:14 | witness 67:7,8 | 11087(r)(2) 31:6 | 20 38:10 |
| 38:19,21,21 39:13,20 | updates 10:21 | woman 29:19 | 11087(s) 31:21 | 2008 26:5 |
| 40:13 43:24 49:13,20 | upholding 31:23 | women 28:7 | 1108783 28:4 | 2011 9:17 20:12 |
| 55:21 56:2,5,11 61:4,9 | urge 24:23 26:22 31:18 | Women's 23:8,10 | 11088(c) 32:3 50:21 | 2013 8:20 22:2 23:22 27:21 |
| 64:23 65:21 66:18 67:6,7 | use 5:16 13:6,14 14:1 15:3 | wonderful 43:19 | 11089 57:19 62:24,25 | 31:8 |
| 67:10 | 16:4,9,13 32:24 34:14,18 | word 52:25 | 11089(b) 32:7 | 2014 1:7 2:7,15 4:1,5 5:25 |
| timely 54:6 | 35:8 36:4,20,21 37:2,4,8 | words 32:18 36:4 | 11089(b)(2) 32:11 11080(d)(1) 22:12 | 6:6,10,20 7:5 65:25 |
| times 10:13 timing 15:20 | 37:10,13 38:2,4,10,12,14 38:18,18,20,21 43:8 | work 5:1 11:6 12:15,22 13:2,8,22 15:8 16:10 | 11089(d)(1) 32:13 11089(d)(2)(c) 33:2 | 66:10 67:20 |
| title 5:8 19:1 20:6 | 47:20 58:25 64:25 | 17:8,13 21:8,9 28:13,19 | 11089(d)(2)(f) 45:18 | 21 5:25 |
| today 4:11 6:7,10,19,21 7:5 | users 58:24 | 31:17 32:6 33:20 46:1 | 11089(d)(2)(1) 45.18 11089(d)(3) 12:24 33:7 | 22 46:8 |
| 7:12,25 8:10,11,23 9:1 | uses 16:16 36:20 43:23 | 49:13,19 53:10 60:25 | 51:14 | 2218 6:13 |
| 23:5 47:2,10 49:25 54:18 | Utilities 1:5 2:5,13 4:6 | 61:7 64:24 | 1109(a) 12:13 | 288-3376 1:20 29 27:21 33:22 52:11 |
| 56:21 58:2 65:25 66:1,10 | utilize 51:1 | worked 30:1,4,7,13,18 | 1109(c) 12:18 | 29 27:21 33:22 32:11 |
| 66:14 | utilizes 10:17 | 44:24 | 11090 52:2 | 3 |
| today's 5:13 | | workers 31:11 | 11090(b) 33:15 | |
| topic 10:2 53:22 54:10 | V | working 13:11 28:12 32:9 | 11090(c)(2) 33:20 | 3:00 66:18 30 17:7,12 36:13 |
| topics 56:14 | vacation 16:13 35:13,18,19 | 36:16 49:8,16 | 11090(c)(4) 34:8 | 30 17.7,12 30.13 |
| tracks 19:12 | 35:25 36:4 37:3,4,10,12 | workplace 13:7 46:25 | 11090(d) 34:12 | 4 |
| train 13:9,20 | 37:21 38:2,11,14 | works 13:9 17:7 29:1,6 | 11090(e)(1) 34:21 | |
| transcribed 7:10,15 67:11 | vague 48:22 | worksite 21:5 27:1 29:1 | 11090(e)(3) 13:5 34:23 | 4 49:6 52:2 |
| transcript 1:10 2:12 7:11 | validity 52:17 53:3,7 | wouldn't 13:21 | 11090(e)(4) 13:24 | 4(b) 55:1 |
| 67:13 | value 42:1 | write 63:20 | 11091(a) 35:10 | 45 5:24 38:13 |
| transfer 35:6 | Van 1:6 2:6,13 4:7 | writing 6:18 7:7 45:17 | 11091(a)(1) 14:7 | 5 |
| transferred 35:3 | variety 25:6 | 66:12 | 11091(a)(6) 15:11 | |
| traveling 25:7 | various 11:23 | written 6:9,10,15,17,19,22 | 11091(b) 36:7 | 5:00 6:9,19 65:25 |
| treatment 17:22 31:7 33:24 51:1 55:6 | verbal 14:10 56:24 | 6:25 7:2,6,12,12 23:7 24:19 31:3 41:22 47:3 | 11091(b)(2) 33:11 11001(b)(2)(a) 52:15 | 50 30:21 50-mile 29:8 |
| tried 14:21 | version 57:21,22 | 49:24 53:13 54:16 55:24 | 11091(b)(2)(a) 52:15 11091(b)(2)(e) 53:9 | 505 1:6 2:6,13 4:7 |
| trigger 42:12 | versus 12:10,11 14:24 26:4 | 56:22 60:22 65:12,24 | 11091(b)(2)(e) 55.9 11091(b)(3) 54:2 | 55 37:23 38:12 |
| triggered 42:8 | 34:9 58:4 62:16,20 | 66:2,5,7,10 | 11091(0)(3) 54.2 11092 16:20 | 33 37.23 36.12 |
| true 67:12,18 | view 8:15,17,19 22:19 | wrong 35:15 53:12 | 11092(b) 36:23 | 7 |
| truly 13:16 | violated 12:11 | wrongful 57:4 | 11092(b)(1) 37:14 | 7 6:6 7:5 66:10 |
| try 65:13 | virtually 22:11 visit 31:11 | www.depo.com 1:21 | 11092(b)(3) 16:11 | 7 6.6 7.3 66.10 7th 56:25 67:20 |
| trying 34:5 60:2 | visiting 25:22 | www.dfeh.ca.gov/fehccou | 11092(b)(4) 38:15 | 7,000 6:1 |
| turn 14:5 | voluntary 34:10 | 5:21 | 11092(c) 45:24 46:6 | 75 26:23 29:8 64:21 |
| turns 43:7 | voracity 33:12 | | 11092(c)(2) 39:12 | 75-mile 30:21 |
| twice 65:18 | | X | 11092(d)(3)(c) 46:9,15 | 10 11110 20121 |
| Twitter 6:2 | W | x 41:8 | 11092(e)(1) 39:21 | 8 |
| two 5:8 21:16 23:12 34:16 | W 3:6 | | 11092(f) 40:7 | 8 22:2 47:17 |
| 34:19 37:20,20 54:20 | wage 14:4 37:16 42:15 52:4 | Y | 11093 18:25 | 800 1:20 6:3 |
| 57:13,18 58:4 61:22 | wages 37:24 38:5 | year 28:7,20 64:10,15,23 | 11093(c)(1) 19:13 40:9,20 | 825.11 27:22 |
| 62:11,18,22,24 | waive 14:20 20:17,18 | years 11:11 27:24 | 11093(e) 40:19,21 | 825.203 33:23 |
| two-week 34:20 | waiver 20:21,24 | York 29:7 | 11094 20:6 63:23 65:9 | 825.206 52:11 |
| type 32:23 35:1 types 57:5 | waives 14:9 | | 11094(a) 41:16 11094(c) 20:16 | 825.215(c)(2) 39:22 |
| Typical 13:8 | want 11:19 20:16 22:19 | 1 | 11094(c) 20:16 11095(a) 21:4 41:24 | 825.218(c) 33:5 |
| typographical 57:14 | 55:21 58:1 62:18 63:23 | 1 50:2 | 11095(a) 21.4 41.24 11095(b) 42:7 | |
| Jpographical 57.14 | wanted 24:20 47:10 57:23 | 1:07 2:14 4:1 | 11095(c) 21:10 42:19 | 9 |
| U | 63:18,21 | 1:09 4:4 | 11093 (c) 21:10 42:19 11097 5:8 42:25 54:14 | 95758 6:14 |
| UC 6:6 | warrants 25:1 | 100 6:14 38:4 64:19,20 | 12 11:13 16:8 19:15 29:21 | |
| unavailability 54:5 | watch 56:7 | 100th 65:2 | 33:20 39:17 40:17 56:6 | |
| unavailable 51:25 | way 13:15 45:16,25 61:6 | 1087 47:12 | 60:15 65:5 | |
| unclear 48:23 50:7,19 53:1 | 62:1 web 5:20 | 11 45:18 | 12-month 33:18 64:15 | |
| underlying 13:2 35:23 36:3 | web 5:20 website 43:15,18 | 1100 57:2 | 1250 28:12 30:7,14 | |
| 43:2 | week 34:14 | 11087 5:8 10:23 48:2,8 | 1250-hour 30:8 | |
| understand 26:25 59:3 | weekly 37:24 | 49:5 50:1 61:16,23,24 | 12945.2 4:25 | |
| understandable 59:20 | weeks 16:8 19:15 29:21 | 62:23,25 | 12945.2(t) 20:8 | |
| understanding 59:6 | | 11087(b)(1) 24:22 | 129452.2 22:9 | |
| _ | I | l | I | I |
| | | | | |